

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.

Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO.833/2026.

1.Murugan

... Petitioner/ Accused

/Versus/

State: The Inspector of Police,

Cyber Crime Krishnagiri P.S., Cr.No: 116/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.A.Elayaraja, Counsel for the petitioner and Tr.M.Visva Bharathi, Public Prosecutor for the respondent police, after hearing both sides, perusing the averments, this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

Heard both sides.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused U/S. 482 of BNSS Act, seeking anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/S. 318(4) of BNS Act and Sec 66D of IT Act 2000.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The defacto complainant gave a false complaint against the petitioner with false allegations and that the respondent/police has falsely implicated the petitioner in this case. The petitioner is a law

abiding citizen and he is only breadwinner of his family and he is ready to abide the condition if any to be imposed by this court. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner prayed to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Moreover, co-accused has already been released on bail.

3] On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that through an online crackers website, the complainant ordered crackers and sent a sum of Rs.62,083/- via QR code. However, the complainant did not receive the crackers. When the complainant contacted the provided mobile number, the same was found to be switched off. It is further submitted that the petitioner, along with other accused, committed large scale online cheating through fraudulent activities. It is further submitted that through the bank account CSB A/c No.0745020000024, several fraudulent transactions were made and numerous complaints were received through the NCRP Portal and further submitted that 96 complaints have been received against the petitioner and his associates and large amounts were transferred through various mobile numbers and bank transactions. Out of the said complaints, 27 complaints were received within Krishnagiri District and 26 victims have been identified. It is also submitted that during the period between 23.09.2025 and 15.10.2025, the victims were cheated to the tune of Rs.41,17,882/-. Accordingly, the learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4] Heard the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5] Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the involvement of the

petitioner in multiple Cyber fraud complaints, the large number of victims and the huge amount involved, this Court is of the view that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for effective investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In fine, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 12th day of March 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy To:

- 1) The Judicial Magistrate, No-II, Krishnagiri.
- 2) The Public prosecutor, Principal District Court, Krishnagiri.
- 3) The Inspector of police, Cyber Crime Krishnagiri P.S.
- 4) Tr.A.Elayaraja, Advocate, Krishnagiri.