

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, KRISHNAGIRI.

Present: Tmt.V.R.Latha, M.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 861/ 2026

1.Karthikeyan

2.Sundari

...Petitioners/Accused

/ Versus /

State: The Inspector of police,

Cyber Crime Krishnagiri P.S, Cr.No:01/2023, CC.No.554/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up today before this court in the presence of Tr.A.Mathivanan, Counsel for the petitioners and Tr.M.Visva Bharathi, Public Prosecutor for the respondent, after perusing the petition averments, upon hearing both side arguments, this Court pronounces the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/S. 483 of BNSS Act, seeking bail. Petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.12.2025 for the alleged offence U/S. 406, 420 of IPC and 66(D) of Information Technology Act.

2] The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The learned counsel for the petitioners further contended that due to

unavoidable circumstances the petitioners could not make their appearance before the trial Court and also they could not able to inform their counsel about their absence. Hence, NBW were issued against the petitioners. The petitioners are in judicial custody for the past 98 days. The petitioners are law abiding citizens and they have only breadwinner of their families and they are ready to abide the condition if any to be imposed by this court. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioners prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

3] On the other hand, the learned Public prosecutor vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioners failed to appear before the concerned trial Court, which compelled the Court to issue a Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) against their on 01.09.2025. It is further submitted that the petitioners were subsequently involved in another case and were remanded to judicial custody on 22.09.2025 in that case. Thereafter, they were produced before the concerned Court through P.T. Warrant and were remanded to judicial custody in the present case on 05.12.2025. It is further submitted that the petitioners are not first time offenders and two previous criminal cases are also pending against them. Accordingly, the learned Public prosecutor strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

4] Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

5] This Court carefully considered the submissions made on either side and perused the materials available on record. It is seen the conduct of the petitioners in not appearing before the Trial Court earlier and the existence of previous cases create

serious doubt as to whether they would cooperate with the trial if they are released on bail. Hence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners and the petition deserves dismissal

In fine, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court on this, the 12th day of March 2026.

Principal District Judge,
Krishnagiri

Copy To: 1) The Judicial Magistrate, No-II, Krishnagiri.
2) The Public prosecutor, Principal District Court, Krishnagiri.
3) The Inspector of police, Cyber Crime Krishnagiri P.S,
4) Tr.A.Mathivanan, Advocate, Krishnagiri.