

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR
PRESENT: Thiru. P.Mathusuthanan, B.A.B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.
Wednesday the 12th day of October 2022
Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.5121/2022
(CNR No: TNTJ010084392022)

Jinna @ Princes Lara, S/o.Sebastin

....Petitioner/ Accused

/ versus /

State represented by Inspector of Police
Thanjavur Tamil University Police Station
Cr.no.683/2022

...Respondent / Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.J.Infant Rabinson, Advocate appearing for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

Petition for Bail.

The Petitioner has filed this petition under section 438 of Crl.P.C., praying to grant bail to him for the alleged offences under sections 147 148 294(b) 341 342 307 of IPC in Cr.No.683/2022 of Thanjavur Tamil University Police Station.

Heard both sides. Perused the records.

The Learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged, but, he has been falsely implicated in this case as A2 and he is having permanent residence and also ready to abide any condition imposed by this court and furthermore, the petitioner is in judicial custody from 24.09.2022 and the injured person has also been discharged from the hospital and hence, it is prayed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The Learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the State submitted that without the knowledge of Sanjay, one Jeeva has taken away his cellphone and the said Sanjay informed the same to the brother of the de-facto complainant and in turn, on 21.09.2022, the de-facto complainant Vinoth Kumar, his

brother and the said Sanjay seized the cellphone from the said Jeeva and having aggrieved over the same, totally seven accused including the petitioner/A2 are said to have attacked the brother of the de-facto complainant with aruval and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital after taking 8 days medical treatment and the petitioner is having three more previous cases including two cases u/s Arms Act and one hurt case and investigation is pending and hence, it is opposed to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioner along with other accused, nature of injury said to have been sustained by the victim, mode of weapon said to have been used by the accused in causing injury on the vital part of the victim, non-completion of investigation, strong objections raised on the side of the prosecution stating that the petitioner is having three more previous cases including two cases u/s Arms Act and one hurt case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the Petitioner on bail.

In the result, this Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 12th day of October 2022.

/t.c.f.b.o./

Sd/- P.Mathusuthanan
Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

Copy to:
The Counsel for the petitioner.