

STATE OF PUNJAB VS M/S NAV DURGA TRADING COMPANY etc.

Present: Ms. Shagandeeep Kaur, Agriculture Development Officer,
Mansa in person on behalf of complainant and represented by
Sh. Sahil Goyal, Ld. APP for the State.

Accused No.2 Raghu Nath, who is also responsible person of
accused No.1, on bail and represented by counsel Sh. B.N.
Goyal, Advocate.

Accused No.4 namely Murali Krishna Regireddi
(who is also responsible person of accused No.3) on
bail and represented by counsel Sh. Vikram Singla,
Advocate.

Accused No.6 Munish Goyal (who is also responsible person
of accused No.5) on bail and represented by counsel Sh.
Vikram Singla, Advocate.

In view of the reasons mentioned in the application
the exemption from personal appearance of the accused is
allowed for today only.

CW2 is present and examined. On request.
Remaining CWs be examined on next date.

Reply to the application dated 02.03.2026, which
was filed by the learned APP for the State under Section 348 of
BNSS (formerly under Section 311 Cr.P.C.) for summoning of
witness Guraditta Singh, CAO, office of Chief Agriculture
Officer, Mansa, has not been filed on behalf of accused No.3 to
6. Reply of this application be also filed on 13.08.2026 on
behalf of accused No.3 to 6.

Date of order: 05.08.2026
Bharat

(Rajinder Singh Nagpal), PCS,
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Mansa/UID No.PB0330