

Item No. 7
T.P. (Crl.) No.75/2026
Deepak Tayal Vs. Shikha

21.05.2026

Fresh transfer application is received on assignment.

It be checked and registered.

**Present: Sh. Ashwani Tanwar, counsel for the petitioner/
applicant.**

It is noted that the applicant has wrongly mentioned the case titled of the application “Shikha Vs. Deepak Tayal” instead of “Deepak Tayal Vs. Shikha”. Accordingly, the Registry is directed to rectify the defects in the cause list.

Heard on the application.

To come up for order at 02:00 PM today.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South-West, Dwarka Courts
New Delhi/21.05.2026

It is 02:00 PM:

Present: None.

By this order, I shall dispose of the application for transfer of the cases titled as “State Vs. Deepak Tayal & Ors.”, “Shikha Vs. Deepak Tayal (MC no.282/2024)” and “Shikha Vs. Deepak Tayal & Ors. (Ex.no.82/2025)” pending before the court of Ms. Sukriti Jha, Ld. JMFC (Mahila Court)-06, South-West, Dwarka Courts, Delhi.

I have heard counsel for the applicant and have perused the material available on record. In my opinion, the application can be disposed of straightway without issuing notice to the non-applicant.

Counsel for the applicant pleaded that the concerned court awarded the interim maintenance of Rs.25,000/- per month in favour of the non-applicant without appreciating the material available on record. The concerned court is also fixing the short dates of hearing in the matter.

The orders passed by the concerned court to this effect are judicial orders and if the applicant is aggrieved by the same, he can avail appropriate remedy available under law, but this is not a ground for transfer of the cases.

Counsel for the applicant also pleaded that the applicant has observed that the respondent and her mother used to remain in and around the court room after completion of the hearing on a particular day and on 02.05.2026, once the court was on leave, the staff of the concerned court adjourned the matter for 06.05.2026 once the mother of the respondent stated that she had spoken to the Ld. Judge for that purpose.

As such, it is nowhere the case of the applicant that there had been any interaction between the ld. Judge and the respondent or her mother except the interaction, if any, during the course of the proceedings.

During the course of the arguments, counsel for the applicant also pleaded that the ld. Judge and the respondent both are female, hence, the applicant has apprehension that the ld. Judge is biased. Firstly, the application is silent to this effect.

Secondly, the said plea is not sustainable in law.

In view of the foregoing discussion, I am of the opinion that the present application is devoid of merit.

Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

File be consigned to Record Room.

Copy of this order be sent to the concerned court.

(PANKAJ GUPTA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South-West, Dwarka Courts
New Delhi/21.05.2026