

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO III, ERODE

Present : Thiru. S.R. Babu, B.A., B.L.
Judicial Magistrate No.III, Erode

Dated this 05th day of June 2026.

CrI.M.P No.1068/2026

in

CC No.258/2026

1. Sanjay Kishore,
S/o. Prabhu.

2. Mouleeswaran,
S/o. Rajarathinam

... Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

//VS//

State represented by
Inspector of Police,
Erode South PS, Erode.
Cr.No.20/2026

... Respondent/ Complainant

This case was coming before me for final hearing on this date 05.06.2026 and the Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appeared for the respondent and the Learned Counsel Selvi.K.Nathiya appeared in person for the Petitioners after perusing the arguments advanced by both sides this court passed the following.

ORDER

The Petitioners / accused are involved in Crime No.20/2026 on the file of the respondent police registered for offence U/s. 126(2), 309(6), 351(3) of BNS and they seek bail.

2. The Petitioners have filed the petition u/s.480 of BNSS for the offence U/s. 126(2), 309(6), 351(3) of BNS. The Petitioners submits that they are innocent and not guilty. They were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 20.01.2026. The offence is a non bailable one. They submit that they are ready to furnish sureties and are also willing to abide any condition imposed by this Court. Thus, they pray that

they may be granted bail.

3. Heard, records perused. Reply received from the Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor and the concerned police. The prosecution strongly objects that, the accused are involved in offence of U/s. 126(2), 309(6), 351(3) of BNS. If they are enlarged on bail, they may abscond and tamper the witness, it will be very difficult to trace them out and they will not appear before this Honourable Court and they will commit the same kind of offence again.

4. On further perusal of the First Information Report it is alleged that, the Petitioners along with their partners have involved in the offence of robbery (i.e., Amount Rs.1000, Silver Bracelet and VIVO T 2 Pro Cellphone) & causing hurt and it result in wrongfully restrains and criminal intimidation of the defacto complainant. And on further perusal of the reply, it seems that there are three cases pending against the petitioner/accused no.1 and there are two cases pending against the petitioner/accused no.2. So in this stage, this Court feels that if the Petitioners/accused are enlarged on bail, it will be difficult to trace them out, they will not appear before the Court and tamper the witness. On considering the gravity of the offence and the fact & circumstances of the case, this Court not inclined to grant this bail petition.

5. In the result the Bail petition in CrI MP No.1068/2026 on the file of this Court is hereby dismissed.

Typed by the steno typist in the Court computer, dictated, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court on this 05th June 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Erode.