

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I ERODE

Present : Thiru.R.Vanjinathan., B.A.,B.L.,(Hons),L.L.M.,P.G.D in J.J & J.P

Judicial Magistrate No.I, Erode.

Dated this 15th day of June 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 1034/2026

Naveenkumar (Age 25),
S/o. Kumar,
120, Thirumal Nagar,
Veerappansathiram, Erode

-----Petitioner/Accused

-Vs-

State rep.by Inspector of police
Erode North police Station,
Crime Number. 193/2026 u/s. 303(2) BNS.
Erode.

-----Respondent / Complainant

This bail petition has been filed U/s. 480 of BNSS and came up for final hearing before this court in presence of **Mrs.S.Nathiya learned Advocate for petitioner**, and **Mrs.N.Anitha, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor** for respondent and upon perusing the petition, records and objections and upon hearing both sides, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

1. The petitioner in Crime Number. 193/2026 of Erode North police Station, Erode had submitted this bail application through his counsel seeking for bail stating that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody on 23.05.2026 stating that the petitioner commits theft. The Petitioner is the only bread winner of his family. The Petitioner submits that, the above said case is false and fabricated one. The Petitioner is not involved in the said offences, he did not commit any offence as alleged by the respondent and the petitioner is innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The Petitioner owns movable and immovable properties and he not likely to abscond or runaway. The Petitioner is coming from well reputed family and he is

having permanent residence. The Petitioner will not tamper the prosecution witnesses. False case is foisted against this petitioner and he prayed to grant bail.

2. The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor who was forwarded with the bail application, has replied that the petitioner has been charged for offence U/s. 303(2) BNS in Crime Number. 193/2026 of Erode North police Station. The investigation of this case is still pending and he is a habitual offender and already he have 3 previous cases in the same police station. In these circumstances, if the accused is released on bail he may cause the same kind of offence again and he may avoid case and investigation proceedings and he may abscond anywhere. The petitioner may also tamper the evidence. Hence, strongly opposed to release the petitioner on bail.

3. Heard both sides. Records perused. Petition and objection are taken into consideration. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.05.2026 for offence U/s. 303(2) BNS. The prosecution case is that between 18.05.2026 at 05.00 p.m to 19.05.2026 at 09.00 a.m, the accused has committed the theft of Defacto-complainant's 1HP motor worth Rs.6,000/-. The investigation of this case is almost completed and the property is recovered. The petitioner has been under judicial custody for the past 24 days from 23.05.2026 to till date. Hence, considering the nature, facts and the above circumstances, this court is inclined to grant bail on following conditions:

1. The petitioner is enlarged on bail on executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- along with two sureties for like sum;
2. The petitioner shall not abscond or tamper any of prosecution witnesses.

3. The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 am for a period of one month.

4. The petitioner shall appear before respondent police as and when required for Investigation.

Accordingly, In the interest of Justice, this petition is allowed.

Typed by the steno typist in the Court computer, dictated, corrected and pronounced by me on this 15th day of June 2026

Judicial Magistrate No.1,
Erode.