

CS DJ ADJ No. 514/2026  
RAVI V/S  
SHALU

25.04.2026

**SUO MOTO ORDER  
UNDER ORDER 7 RULE 11 CPC**

Present: Sh. Bhuvnesh, Id. Counsel for plaintiff.

1. Fresh suit seeking permanent and mandatory injunction along with recovery of Rs. 5,00,000/- qua damages on account of defamation, malicious conduct and unlawful interference, has been filed by plaintiff. It be checked and registered as per rules.

2. Submissions advanced by Id. Counsel for plaintiff heard.

3. Case file perused and considered.

4. In the present case, the plaintiff is seeking decree of permanent and mandatory injunction against defendant from threatening or harassing, as she is entering the office premises of plaintiff without consent and is also creating nuisance and disturbance within the office environment.

5. It is pertinent to mention here that averments made in the plaint might reveal criminal offence and plaintiff is at liberty to initiate criminal proceedings against defendant by filing a police complaint, as such, no relief as prayed herein can be granted, as the

: 2 :

plaintiff is having efficacious remedy available by initiating criminal proceedings against defendant.

6. Qua averments of defamation, plaintiff has merely made a vague and cryptic averments without any specific detail of defamatory statements and no specific date, time and place and in whose presence, defendant had made assertion to lower the image and standing of plaintiff, has been specifically mentioned in the plaint. Accordingly, on the basis of vague and cryptic averments, the suit of plaintiff qua defamation is not maintainable.

7. Since, there is no material available or necessary evidence on record, hence, the present plaint is dismissed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Case file be consigned to record room after compliance of necessary legal formalities.

(ASHOK KUMAR)  
District Judge-04  
South West, Dwarka Courts  
New Delhi/25.04.2026