

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
47TH COURT, ESPLANADE, MUMBAI.**

COMMON ORDER BELOW BAIL

**APPLICATION'S NO. 4701258/BA/2026, 4701259/BA/2026 And
4701260/BA/2026 IN C.R.NO. 30/2025**

	C.R. No.30/2025, State Through EOW V/s. 1. Chirag Suchindram Ramkrushnan 2. Anukool Purandare 3. Vijay Sahebrao Bhagat
A) Case Details	
FIR Number & Date	C.R. No.30/2025 of EOW C.R. No. 186/2025 of Bhandup Police Station Dtd.19/03/2025
Police Station, District & State -	Economic Offences Wing, Mumbai, Maharashtra
Sections invoked	Section 406, 409, 420, 34 of IPC r/w 5, 8 MOFA Act
Maximum punishment prescribed	Imprisonment for Ten years.
B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
Date of arrest	Not arrested.
Total period of custody undergone	---
C) STATUS OF TRIAL	
Stage of proceedings	Chargesheet filed today.
Total number of witnesses cited	46

10-8-26

in the charge-sheet	
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	--
D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS	
FIR No. and Police Station	1. Summary Case No. 1689/2023 U/s 138 of N.I. Act against Accused Anukool Purandare. 2. Summary Case No. 3671/2023 3. Summary Case No. 383/2025 4. Summary Case No. 689/2023, 5. Cri. Reg. 41795/2021, 6. SCC 7310/2023 and NACT 11129/2023 U/s- 138 of N.I. Act against Accused namely Chirag Ramkrushnan
Sections	All are U/s 138 N. I. Act
Status	All are pending
E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS	
Court	--
Case No.	--
Outcome of case	--
F) COERCIVE PROCESS	
Whether any Non Bailable warrant was issued	No
Whether declared a proclaimed offender	No



 10-8-26

ORDER BELOW BAIL APPLICATION.

The accused Chirag Suchindram Ramkrushnan, Anukool Purandare and Vijay Sahebrao Bhagat have filed the present applications seeking their release on regular bail. They are chargesheeted for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 409, 420, 34 of the Indian Penal Code r/w 5, 8 MOFA Act.

2. Applicants have filed these applications on the grounds that they were not arrested by the Investigating Officer. They are having permanent residence. They have co-operated to the investigating agency till date. They further contended that as the chargesheet is filed, logically investigation is over, therefore, their custody is not required. They will abide by the terms and conditions, if any, imposed upon them by this Court.

3. Learned APP filed her say to this application and submitted that if these applications are allowed, the accused will abscond and they will also misuse their liberty to tamper and hamper the prosecution evidence. Hence, prayed to reject the applications.

4. As the charge-sheet has been filed, it seems that the investigation so far against the present accused is concerned, stands completed. The custodial interrogation of the present accused is no longer necessary. The evidence sought to be relied upon by the

10-8-26

prosecution has already been collected and forms the part of the charge-sheet. Therefore there is no possibility of tampering with the evidence.

5. In spite of the above aspects, the apprehension of the prosecution regarding tampering with evidence or influencing witnesses can be adequately addressed by imposing conditions. As the accused No. 1,2 and 5 resides in Mumbai and accused No. 4 resides in Pune. There is no such possibility of absconding as alleged by learned APP.

Moreover In the case of Aman Preet Singh Vs. C.B.I. through Director in Criminal Appeal No. 929 of 2021, Hon'ble Apex Court held that,

'In such a scenario, it is appropriate that the accused is released on bail as the circumstances of his having not been arrested during investigation or not being produced in custody is itself sufficient to entitle him to be released on bail. The rationale has been succinctly set out that if a person has been enlarged and free for many years and has not even been arrested during investigation, to suddenly direct his arrest and to be incarcerated merely because charge sheet has been filed would be contrary to the governing principles for grant of bail.'

10-8-26

6. Considering the conduct of the accused and the above aspect, it will be proper to grant the bail to the accused with some conditions. Hence I proceed to pass the following order:-

ORDER

1. Accused Chirag Suchindram Ramkrushnan, Anukool Purandare and Vijay Sahebrao Bhagat be released on P.B. of Rs. 50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand only) with one or two solvent sureties of like amount, each.
2. Time is granted to furnish surety till the next fixed date and till then he can deposit cash amount in lieu of surety.
3. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence.
4. The applicant shall not leave India without permission of the Court.
5. The applicant to provide contact numbers of his two relatives and provide proof of his permanent resident.
6. The applicant shall attend each and every date of trial.

Dt. 10/08/2026

(Satish D. Kurhekar)

Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate,
47th Court, Esplanade, Mumbai.

HC I (Rs. 50,000/- For each accused)
*Received Amount Rs. 1,50,000/-
Vide Receipt No. 1429891 To 1429893
Date: 10 AUG 2026

Cashier
Cash Section
Esplanade, Mumbai.