

Present: Sh. J.K. Maheshwari, Advocate for the plaintiff.
Sh. Roopam, Advocate for the defendant.

Arguments partly heard. During the course of arguments it transpired that the Ex.C3 is photocopy. Therefore, it is necessary to bring on record the attested copy of sale deed. Upon request of Id. Counsel for plaintiff, now, to come up after lunch break for filing the attested copy of sale deed.

(Dr. Tarun Kumar Verma)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Gurugram,
(UID No. HR-0496)

Date of Order: 03.04.2023

Sanjana, SG-II

Present: Sh. J.K. Maheshwari, Advocate for the plaintiff.
Sh. Roopam, Advocate for the defendant.

File again taken up. Id. Counsel for the plaintiff suffered a statement that he tenders the notary attested copy of sale deed and copy compared by him as Ex.C6, whose copy is already on case file as Ex.C3. He requested that it may be read in evidence of plaintiff. Separate statement to this effect recorded in writing. Now, to come up on 12.04.2023 for further arguments.

(Dr. Tarun Kumar Verma)
Civil Judge (Junior Division)
Gurugram,
(UID No. HR-0496)

Date of Order: 03.04.2023

Sanjana, SG-II