

In the Court of the Principal District Judge, Dharmapuri.

**Present : Tmt.C.Thirumagal,M.L.,
Principal District Judge,
Dharmapuri.**

Monday the 01st day of June 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.581/2026

Ganesan, Age 52, S/o. Muniyan, A1,

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus //

State: The Inspector of Police,
Papparapatti P.S.,
Cr.No.117/2026.

....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.02.2026 filed U/s. 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail for the offences U/s.296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

This petition coming on for hearing before me today in the presence of Tmt.K.Mythili, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the respondent/state and after hearing both side, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s. 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail for the offences U/s.296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Cr.No.117/2026 of Paupparapatti Police Station.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there is land dispute between the defacto complainant and accused and that on 08.05.2026, at about 8.00 p.m., the accused (A1 to A7) have jointly abused the complainant in filthy language and the accused A2 has assaulted the complainant with iron rod on her mouth and the accused A1 has strangled her neck and the accused A3 has pulled her hair and pushed down and the

accused A4 has twist the hand and the accused A5 has pulled her hair and the accused A6 has assaulted the complainant with iron rod on her right jaw and the accused A7 has kicked the complainant on her hip & chest and the accused A2 & A3 have assaulted her son with sickle and stone on his head and the accused have assaulted the family members of complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner (A1) is innocent and he has not committed any offence and due to land dispute a false case has been foisted against him and co-accused (A3) was released and this is 2nd petition and has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor represented that there is pathway dispute between the parties and injured discharged, but taking treatment in private hospital and investigation pending and previous petition also dismissed and in this stage, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he may tamper the witnesses and has raised strong objection.

5. Heard both sides. Perused the records. The FIR has been registered as against the petitioner and others U/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS. The occurrence was said to have taken place on 08.05.2026. The FIR was registered on 09.05.2026. The occurrence said to have arose out of land dispute between the parties. As per reply of public prosecutor, though injured discharged on 25.05.2026, still taking treatment in a private hospital namely K.M. Hospital. The learned public prosecutor has stated that the investigation is pending and if the

petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it may lead to law and order problem. The strong objection raised by the public prosecutor is also taken into consideration. Already the previous anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed by this court in Cr.MP.No.556/2026 on 20.06.2026. This petition was filed on 25.05.2026. Further, there is no major change of circumstances in this case. Investigation is also stated to be pending. Considering the nature of injury, gravity of the offence and stage of investigation, this court is of view that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he may abscond, tamper the witnesses and may involve in same kind of offences. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court this the 01st day of June 2026.

**Principal District Judge,
Dharmapuri.**