

In the Court of the Principal District Judge, Dharmapuri.

**Present : Tmt.U.Monica,M.L.,
Principal District Judge(i/c),
Dharmapuri.**

Wednesday the 25th day of March 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.354/2026

1. Malliga, W/o. Loganathan, A1,

2. Kayalvizhi, D/o. Loganathan, A2,

...Petitioners/Accused

//Versus //

State: The Inspector of Police,
Dharmapuri B1P.S.,
Cr.No.171/2026.

....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 23.03.2026 filed U/s. 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail for the offences U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS.

This petition coming on for hearing before me today in the presence of Tr.J.Kathiravan, Advocate for the petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the respondent/state and after hearing both side, this court delivered the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s. 482 of BNSS seeking to grant anticipatory bail for the offences U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS in Cr.No.171/2026 of Dharmapuri Police Station.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the accused (A1 & A2) are respectively mother and sister of defacto complainant and that on 16.03.2026 about 12.00 p.m., the A1 called the complainant over the phone and when the complainant went to occurrence place and she requested to give jewels as she going out of town and based

on the direction of A1, one Manivannan handed over the jewels viz., Chain – 1, Earrings – 2 sets, in total 2 ½ sovereign and at that time, the A2 has picked up with a quarrel and abused the complainant in filthy language and the accused A1 & A2 have assaulted the complainant with machete and subsequently, the complainant brought her husband to the place of occurrence and where the husband of the complainant enquired about the incident, the accused have caused criminal intimidation. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners (A1 & A2) are innocent and they have not committed any offence, a false case has been foisted against them and have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor represented that there is quarrel between the family members and injured discharged and investigation pending and in this stage, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they may commit the same offence.

5. Heard both sides. Perused the records. The FIR was registered as against the petitioners U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS. The occurrence was said to have taken place on 16.03.2026. The FIR was registered on 18.03.2026. The occurrence said to have arose out of quarrel between the parties. The injured discharged from the hospital on 22.03.2026. Except the offence U/s.118(1) of BNS all other offences are bailable in nature. The learned public prosecutor having no serious objection in granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Material part of investigation would have

been completed. In this stage, there is no need of remand for the purpose of interrogation. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6(a). In the result, the petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail with the following conditions.

(b) In the event of arrest of petitioners or on their surrendering before the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Dharmapuri **the petitioners shall execute a bond for Rs.10,000/- each (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Dharmapuri. The petitioners shall surrender before concerned court within fifteen days from today.**

(c)(i) If for any reason, the petitioners are not able to surrender within the specified time before the concerned Judicial Magistrate, the petitioners shall approach this court and file a petition seeking extension of time for the same.

(ii) While executing the bond, the sureties shall furnish proof of identity and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bonds and the Magistrate shall obtain a copy of their Aadhaar Card or Voter ID or Driving License or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Dharmapuri.

(iii) After release, **the petitioners (A1 & A2) shall appear and sign before the respondent/police, daily once at 10.00 a.m. for the period of 30 days.**

(d) The petitioners shall not influence, threaten or coerce the witness either during investigation or trial.

(e) The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the Magistrate / Trial Court themselves as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji /Vs/ State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**; and

(g) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court this the 25th day of March 2026.

**Principal District Judge(i/c),
Dharmapuri.**

To.

The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Dharmapuri.

Copy to :-

1. The Inspector of Police, B1P.S., Dharmapuri,
2. Tr.J.Kathiravan, Advocate, Dharmapuri.