

Bail Matters 477/2026
STATE OF DELHI Vs. ANGURAJ RAMALINGAM
FIR No.158/2025
PS (EOW)
13.04.2026

Present: Sh. Alok Saxena, Ld. CPP for the State.

This order shall dispose of the first application u/s 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of accused / applicant Anguraj Ramalingam. The bail application is contested by the prosecution.

Ld. Counsel for accused / applicant Anguraj Ramalingam submitted that accused / applicant is engaged in trading dairy products under the name of M/s Srinithi Enterprises Pvt. Ltd.; the complaint filed against him is baseless and has been initiated to pressurize him to succumb to illegal demand which are essentially civil / commercial in nature; the complainant had initially filed a similar complaint with DGP, Milyapor, Tamil Nadu but again second complaint was lodged with EOW, Delhi; infact, after negotiations, the applicant handed over a valuable immovable property to the complainant; investigating agency issued a notice u/s 35(3) BNSS directing his appearance which itself shows that there is no requirement of arrest of the accused / applicant. Hence, the accused be admitted to anticipatory bail.

Ld. CPP for the State submitted that the investigation is at the initial stage; the allegations against the accused / applicant are grave and serious in nature; he sold the products purchased from the complainant to third party at a cheaper rate; the cheated money is to be recovered. The accused

did not join the investigation despite notice; he is involved in a similar case with PS EOW, Mumbai and hence, the anticipatory bail application is liable to be dismissed.

I have considered the rival submissions and have gone through the case file.

The present FIR came to be registered on the complaint of complainant Ashok Gupta, Director of M/s Ramsons Food Ltd., who alleged non payment of Rs.18 crores by the applicant / accused for milk products supplied upto July 2023; it was alleged that the accused / applicant had further sold the goods on lesser rates; even after taking advance payment from third parties, the applicant did not make any payment to the complainant.

During arguments, the IO informed that there were dealings between the complainant and the accused / applicant for the last 6-7 years; they were maintaining a running account; when the amount due from the accused reached up to Rs.24 Crores, the complainant decided to stop further supply of milk products to the applicant / accused; most of the orders were placed by the complainant in July 2023; all the transactions between the parties were through banking channel only.

Perusal of the file shows that initially the complainant had filed the complaint with the DGP, Mylapore, Tamil Nadu. However, when he was called to join the inquiry, he withdrew the complaint informing that he has filed the complaint with EOW, Delhi as it would be convenient for him to peruse it in Delhi. The explanation for withdrawing the complaint does not

appear to be satisfactory. There is considerable delay of about two years in filing the complaint and registration of the FIR for which no plausible explanation has been furnished. Admittedly, the parties were having business dealings for the last several years; in the complaint filed before the DGP, Mylapore, Tamil Nadu, the transactions were acknowledged by the accused with a promissory notes issued to their Counsel, assuring repayment by 30.10.2024; all these facts, prima facie show that the dispute between the parties is primarily of civil / commercial nature. Nothing has been brought on record to show if the accused / applicant is a flight risk or is likely to flee from justice; the evidence is primarily documentary in nature.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, **applicant / accused Anguraj Ramalingam is granted anticipatory bail on furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.1 Lakh with two sureties in the like amount** and subject to the following conditions:-

- i) That the accused / applicant shall join the investigation as and when directed;
- ii) That the accused / applicant shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police office;
- iii) That the accused / applicant shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court; and

The bail application is disposed of.

A copy of order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for

applicant / accused.

A copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned.

(Nishant Garg)
ASJ-06 (Central),
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
13.04.2026