

21 Bail Matter 481/2026
STATE Vs. YOGESH MANN ALIAS YOGI
FIR No.478/2025
PS BUDH VIHAR

11.03.2026

Present : Ms. Geeta Bansawal, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Sh. Rahul Lathar, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused.
IO SI Sumit.

1. Applicant/accused, Yogesh Mann @ Yogi has filed this application u/s 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (herein after “BNSS”) therein seeking grant of regular bail.
2. The applicant/accused has been stated to have been arrested on 27.01.2026 in connection with case FIR bearing no.478/2025 dt. 29.10.2025, lodged at PS Budh Vihar for offences punishable under sections 140(2)/115(2)/351/308(3)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (herein after “BNS”) and under section 27 of the Arms Act.
3. The case of the prosecution, as per the aforesaid FIR and the reply/status report of the IO, broadly speaking, is as follows. The aforesaid FIR was registered upon the complaint of complainant, Rajeev. The complainant had stated that earlier he was associated with the applicant/accused in gambling activities. After some time, he had withdrawn from the said illegal activities. The applicant/accused started threatening and demanding money from the complainant on the ground that he had suffered losses. On 28.10.2025 at about 02.00 PM, while the complainant alongwith his minor son was returning from Suzuki Service Centre at Rithala, the applicant/accused alongwith co-

accused persons namely Vikas and Ravinder @ Pahalwan came in a Scorpio car. The applicant/accused made the complainant to sit in the car after snatching key of his scooty. The applicant/accused demanded a sum of Rs.30 lacs from the complainant and when the complainant expressed his inability to pay such a huge amount, he and the co-accused, Ravinder @ Pahalwan started beating the complainant through fists. Applicant/accused also threatened the complainant through a black coloured pistol and threatened to kill him and his son. Applicant/accused also asked his associate, co-accused Vikas to go to the house of complainant and demand a sum of Rs.30 lacs from his wife. Applicant/accused took the complainant at his house and there he and the co-accused Ravinder @ Pahalwan started beating the complainant through kicks and fists. After some time, the co-accused Vikas also came and he also started beating the complainant. Applicant/accused assaulted the complainant through an iron rod. The complainant reached his house at 05.30 pm in the evening on the same day. Thereafter, he narrated the incident to his wife, who called on No.112 and informed the police.

4. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submitted that the FIR has been lodged after undue delay. Ld. Counsel submitted that the co-accused, Vikas has been granted regular bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide Order dt.17.02.2026. He submitted that High Court relied upon CCTV footage, which showed that the complainant's minor son was leaving the spot on his own scooty. He also submitted that even the co-accused Ravinder @ Pahalwan has been released on bail by this Court vide Order dt.09.03.2026. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the role attributed to the applicant/accused is not graver than that of

the co-accused Vikas and Ravinder @ Pahalwan, who have already been granted bail. Ld. Counsel further submitted that the CCTV footage at the time of alleged abduction and those of the house of the applicant/accused would show that the complainant was not met with any coercion or force by the accused persons. Ld. Counsel lastly submitted that the applicant/accused, if released on bail, shall comply with all the conditions of the bail as would be imposed by this Court.

5. *Per Contra*, Ld. Addl. P.P. while relying upon the reply/status report filed by the IO has opposed this bail application on the ground that the applicant/accused herein has been specifically named in the FIR. She submitted that the applicant/accused alongwith co-accused persons abducted the complainant in a Scorpio car and had beaten him on gun point inside the car. She further submitted that the applicant/accused was not only actively involved but he was the main perpetrator in assaulting the complainant at his house. She further submitted that the pistol used in the commission of offense is yet to be recovered. Ld. Addl. P.P. submitted that the applicant/accused if released on bail, there is an apprehension of him absconding and/or influencing the witnesses, destroying the evidences, interfering in the investigation and fleeing away from the justice.

6. I have heard Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State. I have perused the Judicial Record as well.

7. From the conspectus of aforesaid facts and circumstances and considering (a) the serious allegations of demanding money/ransom from the complainant and his wife, threatening the complainant on gun point and assaulting the complainant through an iron rod is against the applicant/accused

herein; (b) the respective roles of co-accused persons namely Vikas and Ravinder @ Pahalwan are not the same as that of the applicant/accused herein; (c) the MLC supporting the case of prosecution that the complainant was assaulted; (d) the applicant being accused of offense of abduction, coupled with threat to cause death or hurt, further coupled with actually causing hurt, being punishable with sentence upto death or imprisonment upto life u/s 140 (2) of the BNSS; and (e) in view of heinous nature of offense, there is an apprehension of applicant/accused fleeing from justice and/or influencing the witnesses; this court does not deem it a fit case for granting regular bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Hence, the application stands **dismissed**.

9. Nothing expressed herein above shall tantamount to be the expression on the merits of the present case.
10. Copy of order be given Dasti. A copy of order be also sent to the jail authorities.

(Sachin Mittal)
ASJ-05/North-West District
Rohini Courts/Delhi/11.03.2026