

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NATHAM

Present: Tmt. V. Udayasuriya, M.L.,
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Natham.

Dated this Friday, 5th day of August 2022
I.A. No. 147 of 2021 in Original Suit No. 36 of 2017

1. Arumugam Chettiar (Died)

2. Kanthasamy Chettiar

3. Anensiya Jeyanthi

(Rep. By her power agent Jeyaseelan)

...Petitioners /Plaintiffs

4. Santha

5. Senthamarai selvi

6. Achi Muthu

7. Gopi

8. Puppy

... Petitioners /Proposed Plaintiffs 4 to 8

..VS..

1. Muthu (died)

2. Mathi

3. Prabhu

4. Raja

5. Satheesh

6. Sivajothi

7. Vellaiammal

8. Veerammal

...Respondents/ Defendants

This petition filed on 21.09.2021 and coming before me for final disposal on 21.07.2022 in the presence of Mr.Raja Ravikumar, the learned counsel appeared for petitioners, Mr. R. Pandi, the learned counsel appeared for respondents 2 to 8 and having heard the both side arguments and perusing the documents, this court doth hereby pronounce the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed under order XXII rule 3 of the Code of Civil procedure to implead the legal heirs, of the deceased 1st plaintiff as plaintiffs no. 4 to 8 in the main suit.

Brief averments of Petition:

1. The petitioners stated that they filed a suit for permanent injunction against the respondents and during trial the 1st plaintiff Arumugam died on 13.03.2020 and it is necessary to implead her legal heirs as parties to the suit. The petitioner further stated that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation, the petitioners are not able to inform her counsel in time and failed to file a petition u/or. XXII rule 3 of the code within 90 days. Therefore, the suit against the 1st plaintiff was abated. Further they stated that the petitioners/proposed plaintiffs 4 to 8, were the only legal heirs of the deceased 1st plaintiff. They also stated that they has reasonable cause to proceed the case and the delay is nor wilful neither wanton. Hence, they prayed to to set aside the abatement to implead the legal heirs of the deceased 1st plaintiff.

Brief averments of counter statement filed by R4 and adopted by R2, R3, R5 to R8:

2. The respondent in his reply stated and denied the contention made by the petitioners and he stated that the petitioner has wantonly filed this petition with delay and the petitioners has no merits in their case. Further he stated that all the legal heirs of the 1st respondent/defendant were not added as parties to the suit. Hence, the petition is not maintainable and prays to dismiss the application.

The point for determination is whether the petition is liable to be allowed:

3. Both side heard. The petitioner counsel argued that the petitioners due to COVID -19 Pandemic they failed to appear before the court and instruct their counsel in time. Further, he stated that it is only a suit for permanent injunction and it is not necessary to implead all the legal heirs of the 1st respondent as parties to the suit. Only obstructors is

sufficient to proceed the suit. Hence, the petitioners already added all the necessary parties in the suit. To counter the argument the respondent counsel argued that there is no explanation given by the petitioner for not filing the petition in time. Therefore, prays to dismiss the petition.

4. The petition, counter statement and the suit documents carefully scrutinized. On scrutinizing it was come to know that the 1st Plaintiff Arumugam died on 13.03.2020 and after giving sufficient time to implead the legal heirs of the 1st Plaintiff, the petitioners failed to take steps. Hence, the suit against P1 abated. The petitioners/proposed plaintiffs 4 to 8 were the legal heirs of the deceased 1st plaintiff. It was not disputed by the respondents. Further on consider the objection made by the respondents with respect to implead all the legal heirs of the deceased 1st defendant, since it is a suit for permanent injunction, it is not necessary to implead all the legal heirs. The obstructors alone implead as parties is enough. Further, the petitioners stated that due to COVID -19 Pandemic situation they were failed to inform his counsel about the death of the 1st plaintiff in time. It is true that from 24th March 2020 the COVID -19 is alarming in the country till 2021 and the lock down procedure continues till the year end and reason is acceptable. Therefore, this court inclined to allow this petition to implead the legal heirs of the deceased 1st plaintiff as the plaintiffs in the main suit..

In the result the petition is allowed. No cost.

This order is typed by me in my laptop and corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this 5th day of August 2022.

District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Natham.

Both side oral and documentary evidence: Nil

District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Natham