

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE -I, DINDIGUL

Present: Tr.S.Packiaraj, B.Sc., B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul.

Dated this the 20th day of February 2026 on Friday

M.P.No.13/2026

A1- Charles Britto, 33/2026
S/o.Lasar

... Petitioner / Accused

/Vs/

State of Tamil Nadu,
Through the Sub Inspector of Police
Town west Police Station,
Dindigul District.
Cr.No.547/2023
U/s. 379 of IPC

... Respondent/Complainant

Petition filed seeking bail and U/s.480 BNSS to release the Petitioner /Accused on bail.

This petition has been filed U/s.480 BNSS on 16.02.2026 by Petitioner /accused counsel Tr.P. Thiruvengadam and Issued notice to Learned APP and concerned Police and upon perusal of petition, records and the objection filed by the respondent and upon hearing of both sides , this court delivers the following.

ORDER

1. The petitioner / accused is seeking release on bail for the offense alleged to have been committed by him U/s. 379 of IPC in Cr.No.547/2023, Town west Police Station.
2. The Learned counsel for petitioner / accused submitted that the Petitioner was arrested and remanded to Judicial Custody on 11.01.2026. The accused is an innocent and not guilty of any offence. The petitioner *accused is ready to furnish sureties as satisfaction of this court and also ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court. Further submitted that the petitioner is only breadwinner of his family. He has been falsely implicated in this case.* The petitioner /accused is not likely to abscond or interfere with the investigation. Hence, the Petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

3. The learned APP submitted that investigation of the case is not yet completed. If the petitioner / Accused is released on bail, he may abscond and avoid trial proceedings and may commit same kind of offense, tamper the evidence. Hence the learned APP vehemently opposed to release the accused on bail.

4. The investigation officer submitted that if the petitioner / accused released on bail he may again commit the same kind of offense, avoided trial proceedings, tamper the witnesses. Hence the investigation officer is opposed to release the accused on bail.

5. Heard both sides. On perusal of records, it would disclosed that the petitioner accused was remanded to judicial custody on 11.01.2026 for the offence U/s. 379 of IPC. On considering the nature facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner / accused is ready to furnish sureties and co-operate with the investigation. Hence this court is inclined to enlarged petitioner / accused on bail subject to following conditions.

I) The petitioner / Accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) each and the petitioner / accused shall produce two sureties each for a like sum.

ii) The petitioner on his release from prison shall report before the Judicial Magistrate No.I Court, Dindigul on every day at 10.00 A.M. and sign for 30 days.

iii) The petitioner shall not abscond, either investigation or during trial.

iv) If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR may be filed U/s. 269 of BNS.

v) The Petitioner / Accused shall appear before this court on summons.

Accordingly, the bail petition is allowed.

Judicial Magistrate No I
Dindigul.