

In the Court of the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul

Present. Thiru.S.Packiaraj. B.Sc.,B.L.,

Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul

Wednesday 13th day of May 2026

MP.No.1/2026

in

CC 674/2025

A1- Giri (24/2026)

S/o. Perumal

----- Petitioner/ Accused

/Vs/

State by
Sub Inspector of Police,
Town Taluk PS,
Dindigul
Cr.No.428/2024

Petition filed seeking bail and U/s.480 BNSS to release the petitioner /accused on bail.

This petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner /accused U/s.480 of BNSS on 11.05.2026 by Petitioner /accused counsel Mr. M. Muthukumar Advocate and Issued notice to Learned APP and concerned Police and upon perusal of petition, records and the objection filed by the respondent and upon hearing of both sides, this court delivers the following.

ORDER

The petitioner / accused is seeking release on bail for the offense alleged to have been committed by him U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS

The Learned counsel for petitioner / accused submitted that the Petitioner was arrested on 08.05.2026 and remanded to judicial custody. The petitioner/ accused is an innocent and not guilty of any offense. The petitioner/ accused is ready to furnish sureties as satisfaction of this court and also ready to abide any conditions imposed by this court. He has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner/accused is not likely to abscond or interfere with the investigation. Hence, the Petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

The learned APP submitted that the petitioner/ accused was released on bail, they may abscond and avoid trial proceedings and may commit same kind of offenses, tamper the evidence. Hence the learned APP vehemently opposed to release the petitioner/ accused on bail.

On perusal of records, it would disclosed that the petitioner/ accused is remanded to judicial custody on 08.05.2026. This case has registered against the petitioner/ accused alleged to has been committed the offenses U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS. On considering the facts and circumstances of the case, there is a chance to tamper the evidence and there is a chance to commit same kind of offenses, Hence, this court is declined to enlarge petitioner/ accused on bail.

Accordingly, the bail petition is dismissed.

Judicial Magistrate No.I

Dindigul.