

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 20th day of July 2026.



Crl.M.P. No.1834/2026
CNR No.TNDG010039642026

1.Selva Pandi @ Chellapandi, 23/2026

S/o.Duraipandi

2.Ilaiyaraja, 21/2026

S/o.Radhakrishnan

3.Ananthasugan, 28/2026

S/o.Murugan

4.Thangapandi, 30/2026

S/o.Paraman

5.Nandhagopal, 27/2026

S/o.Nagaraj

: Petitioners/A1 to A5

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Cr. No. 373/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 483 of BNSS seeks custody bail to the petitioners/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.P.Ramachandhiran, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. This petitioners/ accused (A1 to A5) seeks bail for the alleged offences U/s 191(2) of BNS Act, 2023 and U/s 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

2. The prosecution case is that the defacto complainant is S.I. of Police attached to the respondent P.S.; on 29.06.2026 at 10.00 hours on reliable information received by him, he along with police party made on surveillance and reached at the places nearby Kachanipatti Tank; on seeing the police party, the petitioners try to escape with sharp edged sword, but the respondent police surrounded them and made search, they seized sharp edged sword from the accused, hence the case.

.2.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/ accused (A1 to A5) would submit that the petitioner is undergoing incarceration from 29.06.2026; that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; false case has been foisted against the petitioners; if they are released on bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that investigation is pending; A1 has already involved in two previous case incidents, one case is under SC/ST Act and another case is under 307 of IPC; A2 to A5 have not already involved in previous case incidents; if they are released on bail, they will abscond and tamper the prosecution witnesses and that petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing both side arguments and on perusal of contents of FIR, it is seen that in the place of incident police party seized sharp edged sword from the accused. It is objected on prosecution that two previous cases are reported against A1. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is reported against A2 to A5.

6. Considering the nature of offence, **bad antecedents of A1** and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to A1 at this stage and accordingly **bail petition in respect of A1 is dismissed**. At the same time, considering period of incarceration and also in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the **petitioners/accused (A2 to A5)** on bail on following conditions;

(I) the petitioners/accused (A2 to A5) are ordered to be released on bail on executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of **learned Additional District Munsif- cum- Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur**;

1. the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
2. the petitioners/accused (A2 to A5) shall appear and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 3 weeks**;
3. the petitioners/accused (A2 to A5) shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
4. the petitioners/accused (A2 to A5) shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

.3.

5. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
6. If the accused (A2 to A5) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Veda sandur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Thiru.P.Ramachandhiran, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.