

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.**

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,**

**Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Wednesday the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1824/2026**

**CNR No.TNDG010039562026**



1.Umaramanan, 29/2026

S/o.Subiramani

2.Panjavarnam, 49/2026

W/o.Subiramani

: Petitioners/A1 and A3

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Cr. No. 384/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

**ORDER**

This petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s 296(b), 127(2), 118(1),351(3) of BNS Act,2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; false case has been foisted against the petitioners/accused; if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that investigation is pending; injured person was discharged from the hospital; petitioners have already not involved in previous case incidents; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will abscond and tamper the prosecution witnesses and that petition may be dismissed.

6. Upon hearing arguments of both side and on perusal of contents of F.I.R. it is seen that at the time of alleged incident due to alleged illicit intimacy between A1 and the wife of the defacto complainant the petitioners wrongfully confined the defacto complainant,uttered obscene words towards him, attacked him with iron rod caused injuries and threatened him with dire consequences. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that injured person was discharged from the hospital and no any previous case is reported against the petitioners/accused.

.2.

7. Considering the nature of offence, no any previous case is reported against the petitioners/accused and in the interest of Justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) on anticipatory bail on following conditions.

1. That the petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Additional District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their each executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum; **if the** petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.s
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
3. The petitioners/accused shall (A1 and A3) appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**
4. The petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
7. If the petitioners/accused (A1 and A3) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- \* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Veda sandur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order  
from the above said official web site link.