

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.**

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,  
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

**Monday the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026.**



**Crl.M.P. No.1823/2026  
CNR No.TNDG010039552026**

Govindaraj, 73/2026  
S/o.Perumal

.. Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through  
The Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk P.S.,  
Cr. No.515/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.P.Chenna Kesavan, the learned Advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

**ORDER**

This petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/s.447, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; due to previous land dispute a suit in O.S.No.103/2023 is pending between the both parties before the Additional District Sessions Court, Dindigul; the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to land dispute between the parties, on 28.04.2024 at about 10.00 a.m., the accused criminally trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked him with hands thereby caused injury and also criminally intimidated him; if he is released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar nature offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to money dispute, at the time of alleged incident, the petitioners used uttered obscene words

towards the defacto complainant and assaulted him. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is reported against him. Admittedly injured was discharged from the hospital.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:

- 1 That the petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Dindigul** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum; **if the petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused is not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**
- 2 The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
- 3 The petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**
- 4 The petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- 5 The petitioner / 1<sup>st</sup> accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- 6 On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
- 7 If the 1<sup>st</sup> accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- \* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila (JM Court Level) Court, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk P.S.,

Thiru. P.Chenna Kesavan, Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order  
from the above said official web site link.