

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**



Monday the 20th day of July 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1831/2026 and Crl.M.P. No.1867/2026
CNR No.TNDG010039432026 and CNR NO. TNDG010040212026**

Crl.M.P. No.1831/2026

Karthikeyan, 44/2026

S/o.Veerassamy

.. Petitioner/Accused

State through

The Inspector of Police,

Palani Town PS.

Cr. No.577/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Crl.M.P. Nos.1867/2026

Bhuvaneshwari, 50/2026

W/o.Karthikeyan

.. Petitioner/Intervener.

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police,

Palani Town PS.

Cr. No.577/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.1831/2026 dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.1867/2026 dated.20.07.2026 through off line and U/s. 18(8) of BNSS seeks permission to intervener in the bail petition.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.L.Kathiravan, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.1831/2026 and of Thiru.S.Balaji, learned Counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.No.1867/2026 and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Crl.M.P.No.1867 /2026 filed by the intervener seeking permission to assist the Prosecution is allowed and permission granted.

This petitioner/ accused in CrI.M.P.No.1831/2026 seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner / accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; the defacto complainant is non other than the wife of this petitioner; due to matrimonial dispute between the both parties; the defacto complainant foisted this false complainant against this petitioner; that the injured was discharged from the hospital; that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Intervenor/defacto complainant contented that the accused who is husband of the defacto complainant had illegal intimacy with one of his employee , when the act was questioned by the defacto complainant; arose quarrel between them; the accused in inebriated condition abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked her with hands and plastic chair thereby caused injury and threatened her with dire consequences; the petitioner physically and mentally tortured the defacto complainant; if anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner/accused, he will abscond and he will definitely tamper the witnesses and also the intervener and her sons faced life threat, hence the petition may be dismissed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the accused in inebriated condition abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked her with hands and plastic chair thereby caused injury and threatened her with dire consequences; if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar nature offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to illegal intimacy dispute, at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner in inebriated condition allegedly uttered obscene words towards the defacto complainant and assaulted her. The learned Public prosecutor has reported that the investigation is pending.

.3.

Considering the nature of offence, serious objection on the prosecution, stage of the investigation and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, Palani Town PS.

Thiru.L.Kathiravan , Counsel for the petitioners.

Thiru.S.Balaji, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.