

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**



Monday the 20th day of July 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No.1836/2026
CNR No.TNDG010038962026**

Mohanlal @ Mohan Nivas, 30/2026
S/o.Selvaganapathi

.. Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Dindigul Town South PS.
Cr. No.453/2025

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.M.Soundira Pandian, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

The petitioner/ accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s. 296(b), 351(3) of BNS 2023 and 67 of IT Act 2000.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/ accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; due to previous enmity between the parties; the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to previous enmity, from 07.03.2024 the accused sent obscene videos and messages to the defacto complainant through his mobile phone repeatedly, when the act was questioned by the defacto complainant, he abused her in filthy language and criminally intimidated her; investigation is pending; if they are released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar nature offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

.2.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to previous enmity, at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner allegedly sent obscene messages and videos to the cellphone of defacto complainant through his mobile for several times. It is objected on Prosecution that the investigation is pending.

5. Considering the nature of offence, serious objection raised on prosecution and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate Court No.III, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Inspector of Police, Dindigul Town South PS.

Thiru. M.Soundira Pandian, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.