

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 20th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1787/2026
CNR No.TNDG010038912026



Boomibalan, 38/2026
S/o. Chinnan

: Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police
Dindigul town North PS
Cr.No.378/2026

.. Respondents/Complainant

Petition dated 14.07.2026 through offline and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.S.Dulasi Ramanan, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

The petitioner/ accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s. 305(b) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/ accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner only for statistical purpose; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that on 04.07.2026 at about 01.00 p.m., the accused stolen the the defacto complainant's Honda shine two wheeler bearing Reg No. TN 57 BA 2558; that investigation is pending; if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will commit the similar nature offence again and they will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner allegedly stolen the defacto complainant's two wheeler. The learned Public prosecutor has reported that the investigation is pending and the petitioner has already involved a similar type of case in incident and PT Warrant is pending against him.

5. Considering the nature of offence, serious objection on the prosecution, stage of investigation and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS.

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Inspector of Police, Dindigul Town North P.S.,

Thiru.S.Dulasi Ramanan, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.