

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Wednesday the 22nd day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1833/2026

CNR No.TNDG010038702026



1.Ravichandran, 50/2026

S/o.Samuvel

2.Shanthi, 56/2026

W/o.Rajan

3.Vasanthi Johnraj, 53/2026

D/o.Samuvel

.. Petitioners/A1 to A3

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police, Saminathapuram PS., .. Respondent/Complainant

Cr.No.116/2026

Petition dated 16.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.R.K.Prasanna Venkatesan, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

This petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s 296(b), 351(2) of BNS Act,2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; due to family dispute false case has been foisted against the petitioner/accused; defacto complainant is the wife of the 1st accused, if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that investigation is pending; no one sustained injury at the time of occurrence; petitioners have not involved in previous case incident; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will abscond and tamper the prosecution witnesses and that petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both side arguments and from perusal of records, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident the petitioners went to the house of defacto complainant demanded money to built house, when the defacto complainant denied for the same, the petitioners

.2.

uttered obscene words towards her, pulled her hair and criminally intimidated her. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no one sustained injury at the time of occurrence.

5. Considering the nature of offence and in the interest of Justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) on anticipatory bail on following conditions.

1. That the petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their each executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum; **if the** petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
3. The petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**
4. The petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
7. If the petitioners/accused (A1 to A3) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered
8. under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 22nd day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)" (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Inspector of Swaminathapuram PS.

Thiru.R.K.Prasanna Venkatesan, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.