

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul..**

Monday the 20th day of July 2026.



**Crl.M.P. No.1754/2026
CNR No.TNDG010038462026**

Arun Prasath, 28/2026
S/o.Mariya Selvaraj

: Petitioner/ A1

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police, Thadicombu PS.
Cr. No.271/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 13.07.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 483 of BNSS seeks custody bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.S.Sivabalan, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. This petitioner/ accused seeks bail for the alleged offences U/s 4(1)(A) of TNP Act.
2. The prosecution case is that the defacto complainant is S.I. of Police attached to the respondent P.S.; that on 09.07.2026 at 12.00 hours when he along with police party made surveillance for prevention of crime control and prohibition of liquor in the place of occurrence; on seeing the police party, the accused try to escape, but the respondent police surrounded him and made search, they seized 43 brandy bottles from the accused, hence the case.
3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/ accused would submit that the petitioner is undergoing incarceration from 09.07.2026; that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; false case has been foisted against the petitioner; if he is released on bail, he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that investigation is pending; the petitioner has already involved in 4 previous case incidents; if he is released on bail, he will abscond and tamper the prosecution witnesses and that petition may be dismissed.

.2.

5. Upon hearing both side arguments and on perusal of contents of FIR, it is seen that in the place of incident police party seized 43 Brandy bottles from the accused. It is objected on Prosecution that four previous cases are reported against the petitioner/accused.

6. Considering the nature of offence, bad antecedents of the petitioner/accused and in the interest of Justice, this Court is not inclined to release the petitioner/accused on bail at this stage.

In the result, bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, Thadicombu PS.
Thiru.S.Sivabalan, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.