

Bail Matter 418/2026
e-FIR No. 80011965/2025
PS SUN LIGHT COLONY
U/S. 123/305/317(2)/3(5) BNS
STATE Vs. IMRAN KHAN

13.02.2026

**Case file taken up today upon application filed U/s. 483
BNSS on behalf of applicant/accused Imran Khan for grant of bail.**

Present: Mr. Zuned Khan, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. Aquib Jamil, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

HC Surendra Singh is present on behalf of IO HC Pankaj, PS Sunlight Colony, and has filed reply to bail application. Be taken on record.

Reply as well as case file are perused.

Arguments heard on bail application from both sides.

1. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused argued that applicant/accused Imran Khan has been falsely implicated in this case and has been in judicial custody since 12/02/2025. He has argued that the charge-sheet has already been filed and the case is at the stage of arguments on charge. It is submitted that trial of the case shall take time to complete and the applicant/accused may not be further detained in custody by way of punishment during trial.

2. Ld. Addl. PP, on the other hand, strongly opposed the application with arguments that the applicant/accused was arrested by officials of crime branch, after which he was formally arrested in this case for the allegation of committing theft of jewellery articles as well as cash of Rs.50,000/- from house of complainant Kashmi, after administering some stupefying intoxicating substance in water to her.

Contd..2.

Bail Matter 418/2026 --2--
e-FIR No. 80011965/2025
PS SUN LIGHT COLONY
U/S. 123/305/317(2)/3(5) BNS
STATE Vs. IMRAN KHAN

It is argued that after committing the offence, the accused persons had sold the stolen gold items to different goldsmiths to dispose it off. Ld. Addl. PP has argued that in view of gravity of offence, bail should not be granted to the applicant/ accused.

3. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused has submitted that the recovery of sold melted gold has already been effected, whereas, co-accused Sher Khan has already been granted bail in this case by the Court through order dated 15/10/2025. He has submitted that the role ascribed to applicant/accused is no different or greater than that of co-accused, who has already been granted bail. It is submitted that applicant/accused has no prior criminal involvement.

4. Considering that the charge-sheet has been filed, period already spent by applicant/accused in judicial custody, absence of prior criminal involvement and on principle of parity with co-accused, the bail application is allowed. Applicant/accused Imran Khan is admitted to bail subject to furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of like amount.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

Copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent, for information.

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused, as prayed for.

(VISHAL SINGH)
ASJ-05, South-East District
Saket Courts, New Delhi:13.02.2026