

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Wednesday the 17th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1340/2026 and Crl.M.P. No.1369/2026
CNR No.TNDG010031282026 and CNR No.TNDG01-003183-2026**

1.Palanisamy, 67/2026
S/o.Murugan
2.Rajendran, 44/2026
S/o.Sundarraaj
3.Sekar, 54/2026
S/o.Velu
4.Ravikumar, 44/2026
S/o.Velu
5.Suriyakumar, 58/2026
S/o.Karuppaiya
Marimuthu, 64/2026
S/o.Palaniyandi

.. Petitioners/A1 to A5
.. Petitioner in Crl.M.P. No.1369/2026/
Defacto complainant / Intervener

/vs/

State through
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.,
Cr. No.146/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 15.06.2026 through offline and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. M.Anantha Munirajan, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.1340/2026 and of Thiru.M.Backiya Selvaraj, learned Counsel for the petitioner/Intervener in Crl.M.P.No.1369/2026 and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

COMMON ORDER

Crl.M.P.No.1369/2026 filed by the intervener seeking permission to assist the Public Prosecutor is allowed and permission granted.

This petitioners/ accused 1 to 5 in Crl.M.P.No.1340/2026 seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s. 191(2), 324(4), 351(2) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners / accused 1 to 5 would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; it is only civil dispute; the 1st petitioner filed a Public intimation litigation regarding encroachment over the Government Property; keeping the same in mind the false complaint was lodged; that if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Intervener/defacto complainant contented that the petitioners / accused 1 to 5 having habit of sending complaints and filing cases with intent to extorts money; the petitioners also demand money from the defacto complainant and others; when they refused to give money, the petitioners demolished the compound wall and also issued life threat; if anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners/ accused 1 to 5, there is more chance of absconding and he will definitely tamper the witnesses and hamper the investigation and also the intervener and her family members faced life threat, hence the petition may be dismissed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor also contended that due to civil dispute, the accused persons damaged compound wall of the defacto complainant and thereby caused loss of Rs.10,000/-; that investigation is pending; if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will commit the similar nature offence again and they will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing the both side arguments and on perusal of FIR, it is seen that due to civil dispute, at the time of alleged incident the petitioner allegedly damaged the defacto complainant's property to the worth of Rs.10,000/-. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is pending against them.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/ accused 1 to 5 on anticipatory bail on the following conditions and the intervenor petition in 1369/2026 is allowed.

1. The petitioners/ accused 1 to 5 are directed to deposit a sum of Rs.2,000/(Rupees two thousand only)each in the Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Vendasandur to the credit of the Case in Cr.No. 146/2026 of Eriodu P.S. without prejudice to their case.

.3.

2. After making payment and on production of acknowledgement for payment, that the petitioners/accused 1 to 5 in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing each a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum; **if the petitioners/accused 1 to 5 not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**

3. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

4. The petitioners/accused 1 to 5 shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**

5. The petitioners/accused 1 to 5 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

6. The petitioners / accused 1 to 5 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

7. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

If the accused 1 to 5 thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 17th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

.3.

Copy to

The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Vendasandur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.,

Thiru.M.Anantha Munirajan, Counsel for the petitioners.

Thiru.M.Backiya Selvaraj, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.