

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 08th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1216/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002932-2026**

1.Meena, 56/2026

W/o.Sakthi

2.Kaliammal, 30/2026

D/o.Sakthi

.. Petitioners/A1 and A2

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town North PS.
Cr.No.293/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 05.06.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused 1 & 2.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.P.Satheesh Kumar, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 filed this petition U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. Act, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/S. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 in Cr.No.293/2026 on the file of Dindigul Town North P.S.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 would submit that the petitioners are the tenant of the defacto complainant; they paid the rent regularly; but there was a delay in paying monthly rent; for that the defacto complainant had quarreled and abused them in filthy language and asked them to vacate the house; due to getting fear that this petitioners will lodge a complaint against them, in order to escape from the clutches of law, the defacto-complainant has falsely lodged this case against this petitioners; if the petitioners are released on bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

.2.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the accused persons are the tenant of the defacto complainant; they failed to pay the rent for the past three months; when it was questioned by the defacto complainant; on 03.06.2026 at about 10.00 P.M. the accused persons came to the defacto complainant's house and uttered obscene words toward him and attacked him with paver block on his head and mouth and caused injuries and threatened them with dire consequences; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will abscond to other district, tamper with the prosecution witness and that will lead hindrance to the prosecution.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to default in rent dispute, the alleged occurrence took place, the accused persons abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, attacked him with paver block on his head and mouth and caused grievous injury and threatened him with dire consequences. It is conceded by the prosecution that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:

(I) That the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the **learned Judicial Magistrate NO.II, Dindigul** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum; **if the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**

(ii) The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(iii) The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall appear and sign before the **S.H.O. of Dindigul Town North Police Station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 3 weeks.**

.3.

(iv) The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 08th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate.No.II.Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Inspector of Police, Town North PS.

Thiru.P.Satheesh Kumar, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.