

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 08th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1194/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002860-2026**

Yuvarajkumar @ Yuvaraj, 31/2026

S/o. Kannan

.. Petitioner/A1

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Dindigul Taluk P.S.,

Cr. No.555/2025

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 03.06.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 483 of BNSS seeks custody bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P.Satheesh Kumar, the learned Advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. The petition is filed by the petitioner/accused (A1) U/s 483 of BNSS Act,2023 to seeks bail for the alleged offence U/s 310(6) and 311 of BNS Act,2023.

2. The prosecution case is that on 12.10.2025 at about 09.00 P.M. at Dhandalkaranpatti the petitioner/accused and the co-accused involved in this crime came with deadly weapons attacked the defacto complainant and others with knife and committed the offence of robbery.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 13.10.2025; the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, false case has been foisted against the

petitioner/accused; if the petitioner/accused is released on bail he will obey the conditions to be imposed by this court, he will neither abscond nor tamper with the prosecution witness; the petitioner/accused has not filed similar type of bail application before Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai and prays to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the investigation is still pending; 21 previous criminal cases are pending against the petitioner/accused in various police stations; if the petitioner/accused is released on bail, he would tamper the prosecution witness and abscond to some other places and strongly objected to release the petitioner/accused on bail.

5. Heard both sides. Records perused. It is seen that on the date of alleged incident, the petitioner along with co-accused persons threatened the defacto complainant and also assaulted the defacto complainant and others with weapon and also snatched cash from the defacto complainant. It is fairly conceded by the prosecution that detention order passed against the petitioner under goondas act was set aside. Eventhough, earlier bail application was dismissed in earlier occasion, as a change of circumstances, considering the remand period and during remand period there is a possibility of completion of investigation, and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner/accused on bail with condition.

1. the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Additional District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur;**

2. the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

3. the petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 30 days without fail.**

4. the petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

5. the petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
7. If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 08th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk P.S.,

Thiru. P.Satheesh Kumar , Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order
from the above said official web site link.