

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.P.GANESAN, B.A., B.L., L.L.M.,
Principal Sessions Judge (FAC), Dindigul.**

Wednesday the 03rd day of June 2026.

**V.Crl.M.P. No.1136/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002716-2026**

Suriya, 26/2026
S/o.Paramasivam

.. Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk P.S.,
Cr. No.323/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru. G.Meenakshi Sundaram, the learned Advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi, In-Charge for the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. The petition is filed U/S.483 of BNSS 2023 seeking bail.

2. Nitty-Gritty of the prosecution case is as follows :-

On 24/05/2026 at 7.45 A.M. the police party seized 27 Express Brandy bottles from the petitioner which he possessed for selling on higher price. Therefore case registered against the petitioner U/s.4(1)(A) of Tamil Nadu Prohibition (Amendment) Act, 2024.

3. The prosecution filed objection. Heard both sides.

4. The point for determination is that can bail be granted to the petitioner?

5. Answer :-

The FIR would reveals that the petitioner was in possession of 27 Express Brandy bottles each containing 180 ml. It also came to the light that he purchased the same from the Tasmac shop on retail basis to sell the same on higher price and also recovered the sold amount of Rs.400/-. So it cannot be termed that the brandy bottles are illicit arrack. Further, sample has not been taken for getting R.F.S.L. report. So it is doubtful whether offence

.2.

u/s.4(1)(A) of T.N.P. Act would apply to the petitioner. Considering the above grounds, I inclined to release the petitioners on conditional bail.

Ideo, it is ordered that the petitioner shall be released on conditional bail on his executing a bond for sum of Rs.15,000/- with two sureties each for like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul. The following conditions are imposed on the petitioners.

1. The petitioner shall appear in person before the SHO, Dindigul Taluk Police Station daily at 10.00 A.M. and 5.00 P.M. for a period of 20 days.
2. The petitioner shall not contact the prosecution witnesses either directly or through electronic medium.
3. The petitioner shall furnish their mobile phone numbers and their residential address by filing affidavit before Court.
4. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court concerned for cancellation of bail as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005)AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 03rd day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge (FAC),
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk P.S.,

Thiru.G.Meenakshi Sundaram, Advocate for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.