

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Wednesday the 10th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1130/2026 and Crl.M.P. No1204/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002711-2026 and CNR. No.TNDG01-002893-2026**

1.Priyadharshini, 22/2026

D/o.Thangaraj

2.Mangayarkarasi, 24/2026

D/o.Thangaraj

3.Kottaikalai, 26/2026

S/o.Thangaraj

: Petitioners/A2 to A4

Jeyalakshmi,

: Petitioner in Crl.M.P.

W/o.Karuppusamy

No.1204/2026/ Defacto
complainant / Intervener

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Ambadurai PS.,

: Respondent/Complainant

Cr. No. 94/2026

Petition dated 01.06.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.C.Gurumoorthy, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.1130/2026 and of Thiru.A.John Ruban, learned Counsel for the petitioner/Intervener in Crl.M.P.No.1204/2026 and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

COMMON ORDER

The petition in Cr.L.M.P. 1130/2026 is filed by the petitioners/accused 2 to 4 U/s 482 of BNSS Act, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/s.191(2), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 and Sec. 4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The petition is filed by the intervener/defacto complainant U/s 482 of BNSS Act, 2023 prays to dismiss the Anticipatory Bail petition filed by the petitioners/accused 2 to 4 in Cr.M.P.No.1130/26.

.2.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused person would submit that this is the 2nd bail application, this petitioners earlier bail application is dismissed on 27.05.2026; the defacto complainant and the petitioners are the residents of same locality; due to previous civil dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, this false case was registered against this petitioners ; they did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; that if they are released on bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and they may be released on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the Intervener/defacto complainant would submit that due to civil dispute, the incident took place; the defacto complainant is continuously taking treatment in the hospital for several fracture caused in the incident; the defacto complainant is residing in his relatives house due to life threat of the petitioners and prays to dismiss the bail petition.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to previous enmity, at the time of alleged incident on 21.05.2026, the accused persons along with others unlawfully assembled with deadly weapons, with an intent to insult the defacto complainant, wrongfully restrained and abused her in filthy language, attacked her and threatened her with dire consequences; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will commit similar nature of offence again and tamper the prosecution witness and that the petition may be dismissed. He further stated that the 4th petitioner is already involved in two previous case incidents.

5. Upon hearing the both side arguments and on perusal of First Information Report, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, due to civil dispute, the petitioners /accused persons uttered obscene words towards the defacto complainant and assaulted her.

Considering the serious and bad antedecents attributed against the 4th petitioner/4th accused, serious objection raised on the side of prosecution that he was involved in two more cases, stage of case and in the interest of justice, **this court is not inclined to grant bail to the 4th petitioner/4th accused and accordingly petition in respect of 4th petitioner/4th accused.**

At the same time, considering the overt act attributed against the petitioners/A2 and A3, nature of offence, discharge of injured, no any previous case is reported against this

petitioners and in the interest of justice, **this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A2 and A3 in CrI.M.P.No.1130/2026 subject to the following condition.**

1 That the petitioners/accused 2 and 3 in CrI.M.P.No.1130/2026 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the **learned Additional Mahila, Judicial Magistrate, (JM Level) Court, Dindigul** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender, this petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties each for a like sum, **if the petitioners/accused 2 and 3 are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**

2 The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

3 The petitioners/accused 2 and 3 in CrI.M.P.No.1130/2026 shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for 2 weeks without fail.**

4 The petitioners/accused 2 and 3 in CrI.M.P.No.1130/2026 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

5 The petitioners/accused 2 and 3 in CrI.M.P.No.1130/2026 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

6 On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

.4.

7 If the petitioners/accused persons thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 10th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila (JM Court Level) Court, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Sub- Inspector of Police, Ambadurai PS.

Thiru.C.Gurumoorthy, Counsel for the petitioners.

Thiru.A.John Ruban, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.