

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Thursday the 11th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1147/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002708-2026**

1.Selvaraj, 59/2026

S/o.Jeyaraj

2.Philomina, 72/2026

W/o.Arokiasamy

.. Petitioners/A1 and A2

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.,

Cr. No.131/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 01.06.2026 through offline and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.P.Ugin Vivek Kumar, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 seek anticipatory bail for the alleged offences U/S. 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS 2023 and Sec.4 of THPHW (Amendment) Act, 2002.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 would submit that this petitioners did not involve in the offence as alleged by the prosecution; the defacto complainant is none other than the daughter in law's mother of this petitioners, there exists property dispute between them, due to that, the defacto complainant and her family members abused and attacked this petitioners and FIR is registered against them in Cr.No.132/2026; in order to escape from the clutches of law this false case has been foisted against this petitioners; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

.2.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that at the time of alleged incident on 12.05.2026, the defacto complainant questioned about the partition of property to her daughter; due to that wordy quarrel arose ; the accused persons with an intent to insult the defacto complainant, abused her in filthy language and attacked her with wooden log on her right side head and caused injury ; threatened her with dire consequences ; if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will abscond, commit the similar nature of offence again and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, due to property dispute, the petitioners/accused persons uttered obscene words towards the defacto complainant and assaulted her. It is fairly conceded on prosecution side that counter case is pending against the defacto complainant and party and injured was discharged from the hospital. No any previous case is reported as against this petitioners.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:

1. That the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Additional District Munsif -cum- Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties each for a like sum, **if the petitioners/accused 1 and 2 are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
3. The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for 2 weeks without fail.**

.3.

4. The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioners/accused 1 and 2 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

If the petitioners/accused persons thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 11th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Veda sandur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.,

Thiru. P.Ugin Vivek Kumar, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.