

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Thursday the 11th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.1146/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002698-2026**

1.Rajendran, 49/2026

S/o. Mani

2. Pappathi, 72/2026

W/o. Mani

3. Palaniammal, 42/2026

W/o. Rajendiran

.. Petitioners/A1 to A3

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Dindigul Taluk P.S.,

Cr. No.333/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 01.06.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru. M.Muthukumar, the learned Advocate for the petitioners and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

This petitioners / accused 1 to 3 seeks bail for the alleged offences U/S. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) of BNS 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners / accused 1 to 3 would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; due to wordy quarrel between the parties, the defacto complainant and party attacked the petitioners, for which the 2nd petitioner filed a complaint and FIR is registered against him in Cr.No.334/2026; that in order to escape from the clutches of law this false complaint has been foisted against these petitioners; injured was discharged from the hospital; that if they are released on anticipatory bail they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

.2.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to wordy quarrel between the accused persons and the defacto complainant, on 25.05.2026 at about 11.00 a.m., the accused persons with an intent to insult the defacto complainant used uttered filthy language towards her and also assaulted with hands and wooden log thereby caused injuries; that investigation is pending; if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will commit the similar nature offence again and they will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to wordy quarrel, at the time of alleged incident, the accused persons used obscene words towards the defacto complainant and assaulted with weapon. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is reported against them. Admittedly Injured was discharged from the hospital. He further stated that counter case is also pending.

Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners / accused 1 to 3 on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:

1. That the petitioners / accused 1 to 3 in the event of their arrest or surrender before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila (J.M Level) Court, Dindigul** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila (J.M Level) Court, Dindigul; if the petitioners/accused 1 to 3 are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
3. The petitioners/accused 1 to 3 shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**
4. The petitioners/accused 1 to 3 shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

.3.

5. The petitioners/accused 1 to 3 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
7. If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 11th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila (JM Court Level) Court, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

They are requested to download this order

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Dindigul Taluk P.S., from the above said official web site link.

Thiru.M.Muthukumar, Advocate for the
petitioners.