IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Wednesday the 10t day of June 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.1012/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002364-2026

Karthick,40/2026 S/o.Muniyandi	:	Petitioner/A3

/vs/

State through The Inspector of Police, DCB PS. Cr. No.8/2026	:	Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.04.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.V.Kannan, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following…..

ORDER

1. The petition is filed by the petitioner/accused (A3) U/s 482 of BNSS Act,2023 to seeks bail for the alleged offence U/s 61(2), 316, 336(3), 340(2) and 351(2) of BNS Act,2023 in Cr.M.P.No.824/2026.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that did not involve in the incident as alleged by prosecution; it is only a money dispute; and transaction was made through bank; Hon'ble High Court granted bail to the co-accused; that if he is released on bail, he will abide the conditions of this Court and he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the intervener specifically contended that the petitioner along with accused persons created a firm fraudulently and obtained loan of Rs.3,40,00,000/- in A1's bank by mortgaging defacto complainant's title deed; when she approached A2 to obtain housing loan ; the accused persons also obtained only signatures of the defacto complainant in unfilled papers and cheques; major part of said loan amount was transferred to the petitioners and other accused person's bank account and thereby

transferred dishonestly and cheated the defacto complainant and that petition may be dismissed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor also argued that when the defacto complainant approached the A2 to get the housing loan, the accused persons conspired together and falsely created documents as if obtained loan for a firm, in which the defacto complainant has no connection and thereby fraudulently obtained loan and got money through their accounts; investigation is pending and that petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing both sides arguments and perusal of FIR contents, it is seen that when the defacto complainant approached for housing loan, the accused persons fraudulently created a firm and obtained loan of Rs,3,40,00,000/- from the A1's bank and major portion was transferred to their accounts by obtaining documents and signatures of the defacto complainant. Investigation is pending.

Considering nature and manner of offence committed, amount involved in the incident, stage of investigation, serious objections on prosecution and in the interest of justice, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A3 at this stage.

In the result, anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.
Pronounced by me in open Court this the 10th day of June 2026.

PADMANABAN VENKATASAMY

Digitally signed by PADMANABAN VENKATASAMY
Date: 2026.06.10 17:23:30 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.

➢This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
➢This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
" https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number" (or) use "scan QR code"
➢ * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app "e-Courts Services" from Android or iOS

Copy to
The Judicial Magistrate.No.II, Dindigul.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.
Thiru.V.Kannan, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.