

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 20th day of July 2026.



**Crl.M.P. No.945/2026 and Crl.M.P. No.979/2026
CNR No.TNDG010022772026 and CNR NO. TNDG010023242026**

Crl.M.P. No.945 /2026

1.Raheeb Ahamad Asraf, 41/2026

S/o.Asraf

2.Maitheen, 56/2026

S/o.Kochumuhammed

3.Muhammed Aban, 33/2026

S/o.Mytheen

4.Yousef, 69/2026

S/o.Ahamed Kader

5.Praveen S Nair, 41/2026

S/o.Sathasivam

.. Petitioners/A2 to A6

State through

The Inspector of Police,
DCB PS.

Cr. No. 07/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Crl.MP. Nos.979/2026

Mayil Vaganan, 51/2026

S/o.Thathan

.. Petitioner/Intervener.

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police,
DCB PS.

Cr. No. 07/2026

: Respondent/Complainant

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.945/2026 dated through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks Anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

Petition in Crl.M.P. No.979/2026 dated through e-filed and U/s. 18 (8) of BNSS seeks permission to intervener in the Anticipatory bail petition.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.945 /2026 and of Thiru.P.Ramachandran, learned Counsel for the petitioners in Crl.M.P.No.979/2026 and of Thiru.T.Sivachandran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

.2.

ORDER

This petitioners/accused (A2 to A6) seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offence U/S. 316(1), 318(3), 318(4), 335, 340(2) and 351(2) of BNS Act,2023 in Cr.L.M.P. No. 945/2026.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners/ accused (A2 to A6) would submit that the petitioners did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; false case has been foisted against the petitioners/accused; as per the representation of A1 the property of purchased; the petitioners did not create any forged document; if they are released on anticipatory bail, they will abide the conditions of this Court and that they may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. The learned Counsel for the intervener contended that initially A1 took lease of the defacto complainant's property; after some time he came to know that A1 to A4 falsely created lease deed as if A1 to A4 are owner of the property; petitioners issued death threat against him; the petitioners also disturbed his possession and that petition may be dismissed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that A1 took the lease of the disputed property and after some time he created documents along with co-accused persons as if he is the owner of the property and leased out to the co-accused persons; investigation is pending and that petition may be dismissed.

5. Upon hearing both side arguments and from perusal of records, it is seen that the petitioners along with A1 falsely created the lease deed as if A1 to A4 are owner of the property, leased out the same to other co-accused persons and thereby tried to grab the defacto complainant's property and also criminally intimidated the defacto complainant.

6. Considering the nature of offence, serious objections on Prosecution, stage of investigation and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused (A2 to A6) at this stage.

In the result, anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

The Judicial Magistrate.No.II, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.

Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, Counsel for the petitioner.

Thiru.P.Ramachandran, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order
from the above said official web site link.