

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 15th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.892/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002171-2026**

Senthilkumar,42/2026
S/o.Marimuthu

.. Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Town West PS.
Cr.No.138/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 10.04.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.V.Senkuttuvan, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

This petitioner/ accused seeks bail for the alleged offences U/S. 296(b), 85, 351(2) of BNS 2023.

2. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner / accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in this case incident as alleged by prosecution; due to matrimonial dispute between the parties a case in HMOP.No.312/2025 is pending before the Family Court, Dindigul, due to enmity the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner; that no one was injured; that if he is released on anticipatory bail he will abide the conditions of this Court and that he may be released on anticipatory bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that due to matrimonial dispute, on 09.04.2026 at about 02.00 p.m, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and criminally intimidated her, that investigation is pending; if he is released on anticipatory bail, he will commit the similar nature offence again and he will abscond and that the petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing both sides arguments and as per the FIR contents, it is seen that due to family dispute, at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner used obscene

.2.

words towards the defacto complainant and criminally intimidated her. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is reported against him. He further reported that HMOP., is pending and both parties were amicably compromised this matter. Considering the above circumstances and in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to release the petitioner / accused on anticipatory bail on the following conditions:

1. That the petitioner / accused in the event of his arrest or surrender before the **learned Additional Mahila, Judicial Magistrate, (JM Level) Court, Dindigul** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum, to the satisfaction of the **learned Additional Mahila, Judicial Magistrate, (JM Level) Court, Dindigul**; **if the petitioner/accused is not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically.**
2. The sureties shall affix their photograph and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.
3. The petitioner / accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent Police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 2 weeks without fail.**
4. The petitioner / accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
5. The petitioner / accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
6. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 15th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

.3.

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional Mahila, Judicial Magistrate, (JM Level) Court, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .

The Inspector of Police, Town West PS.

Thiru. V.Senkuttuvan, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.