

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 15th day of June 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.695/2026 and Crl.M.P. No.718/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001749-2026 and CNR No.TNDG01-001807-2026**

Syed Ibrahim @ Sultan,39/2026
S/o.Mohammed Yahooop

.. Petitioner/A1

Packiyam,75/2026
W/o.Gunaseelan

.. Petitioner in Crl.M.P. No.718/2026
/ Defacto complainant / Intervener

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.
Cr. No.02/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 18.03.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 482 of BNSS seeks anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.P.Satheeshkumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner in Crl.M.P.695/2026 and of Thiru.S.Kumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner/Intervener in Crl.M.P.718/2026 and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

Common Order in Cr.M.P.No.695/2026 and Cr.M.P.No.718/2026.

The petition is filed by the petitioner/accused (A1) U/s 482 of BNSS Act,2023 to seeks bail for the alleged offence U/s 61(2), 316(1), 318(4), 3(5) and 351 of BNS Act,2023 in Cr.M.P.No.695/2026.

The petition is filed by the intervener/defacto-complainant seeks dismissal of anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner/accused in Cr.M.P.No.718/26.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner did not involve in the incident of this case; the false case has been foisted against the petitioner/accused; if he is released on bail, he will abide the conditions of this Court and he may be released on anticipatory bail.

.2.

3. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that when the petitioner was working in accounts section of defacto complainant's hospital for several years he totally misappropriated a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- from the accounts; investigation is pending and that petition may be dismissed.

4. Upon hearing the both side arguments and from perusal of FIR contents, it is seen when the petitioner was serving as Manager in the defacto complainant's hospital, he allegedly totally misappropriated around a sum of Rs.30,00,000/-

5. Considering the nature of this case manner in which it was committed, amount involved in this case incident and in the interest of justice, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused at this stage.

In the result, anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 15th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.
Thiru.P.Satheesh Kumar, Counsel for the petitioner.
Thiru.S.Kumar, Counsel for the Intervener.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.