



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL

PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Tuesday, the 17th day of March 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.645/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001638-2026

1.Backiyaraj, 39/2026

S/o.Ramar @ Ramaiya

2. Gayathiri,27/2026

W/o.Backiyaraj,27/2026

.. Petitioner/A1 and A2

/vs/

State through

The Sub-Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS,

Cr.No.105/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.P.Sankar Guru, learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.P.Mahendran learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 482 of BNSS 2023. Petitioners/A1 and A2 pray to grant them anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Cr.No.105/2026 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 10.3.2026.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged, that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are relatives, that there existed family dispute is pending between them, due to that enmity, in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the petitioners are ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioners undertake that they will not tamper or hamper with any witness, that the petitioners have permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioners apprehend arrest and they pray for anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that in continuation of family dispute, that on 10.3.2026 at about 16.00 hours, the accused with intent to insult the defacto complainant's mother and abused her in filthy languages, attacked her with wooden log and caused injury and also criminally intimidated her, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act. The learned Counsel for the petitioners argued that the petitioners and the defacto complainant are relatives, that there existed family dispute is pending between them, due to that enmity, in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners apprehend arrest and they prays for anticipatory bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has conceded that the injured was discharged from the hospital.

On perusal of records, it shows that occurrence have taken place on 10.3.2026. Material part of investigation might have been completed. Injured was discharged from the hospital. No bad antecedent reported. Considering the above aspects, the discharge of injured and the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A1 and A2 on condition.

In the result in the event of arrest or on their surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioners/A1 and A2 are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release the petitioners shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m for a continuous period of 15 days and shall also appear make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
3. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.

4. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail even though anticipatory bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 17th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial
Magistrate, Vedasandur.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.
Thiru.P.Sankar Guru , Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order
from the above said official web site link.