

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.623/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001583-2026

1.Narayanan, 45/2026
S/o.Mothiruba
2.Babu, 55/2026
S/o.Vittal

.. Petitioners/A1 and A2

/vs/

State through
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Ayakudi Ps.
Cr. No.16/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbuselvan, learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of BNSS Act. Petitioners/A1 and A2 pray to enlarge them on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 318(4) of BNS 2023 in Cr.No.16/2026 of respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 29.1.2026 and he was remanded on the same day.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that this is second petition, that the petitioners' earlier bail petition was dismissed on 2.3.2026, that the petitioners are innocents and strange to the facts as alleged, that there existed wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, but, the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged, that the investigation is over, that the petitioners are ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioners have permanent abode and there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioners were remanded on 29.1.2026 and they

are in custody for 43 days and they pray for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto complainant is running a cheppal shop in New Ayakudi, that on 29.1.2026, at about 4.00 p.m., the accused were went to the defacto complainant's shop and said that they have in possession of 1 Kg. of Gold Jewel and asked him to take the said jewel and demanded Rs.2,00,000/- cash, that the defacto complainant suspect over the attitude of the accused, asked them to come with him for checking the jewels, immediately, the accused try to escape, but, with the help of his relatives, the defacto complainant caught hold them and filed this complaint with accused and property, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the petitioners/A1 and A2 for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 318(4) BNS 2023. The learned counsel for the petitioners represented that the petitioners are innocents and strange to the facts as alleged, that there existed wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, but, the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged and that the investigation is over, that the petitioners were remanded on 29.1.2026 and they are in custody for 43 days and they pray for bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has raised objection that the accused with intent to cheat the defacto complainant and tried to get cash of Rs.2 lakhs, but, the accused was caught red handed by him and lodged this complaint with accused and property. However, he has conceded that the material part of investigation is over.

Considering the facts that material part of investigation is over, period of incarceration, no bad antecedent reported and the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners/A1 & A2 with conditions.

In the result, the petitioners/A1 & A2 are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani subject to conditions.

1. After release the petitioners shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
2. The petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and they shall not threaten the witnesses. They shall not induce witnesses and shall not cause obstacles to the pending investigation or trial.

3. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code” _

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Ayakudi PS.
Thiru.K.Anbuselvan, Counsel for the petitioners.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.