

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.619/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001582-2026

Rajkumar @ Raja, 25/2026
S/o.Shanmugavel

.. Petitioner/A2

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS,
Cr.No.71/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.S.Japastian, learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of BNSS 2023. Petitioner/A2 prays to enlarge him on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. Girl Missing @ 87 BNS Act in Cr. No.71/2026 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 18.2.2026 and he was remanded on 24.2.2026.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is no nexus between the petitioner and the alleged occurrence, that this petitioner and the A1 are friends, that the minor girl of the defacto complainant and A1 are loved with each other, that parents of the minor girl came to knowledge about the same and scolded their minor girl, enraged the minor girl went to her friends house, but, the defacto complainant wrongly conceived and lodged this false complaint, that the investigation is over, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner hereby undertakes that he will not abscond and will not tamper any witnesses and that he is in custody for the past 17 days and he prays for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the victim is 16 years old minor girl, that the defacto complainant is mother of the said minor girl, that on 18.2.2026, the

defacto complainant had lodged a police complaint that his minor girl was missed, on police enquiry reveals that with the help of other accused, A2 kidnapped the minor girl for marry her, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused U/S. Girl Missing @ 87 BNS Act. The learned counsel for the petitioner represented that this petitioner and the A1 are friends, that the minor girl of the defacto complainant and A2 are loved with each other, that parents of the minor girl came to knowledge about the same and scolded their minor girl, enraged the minor girl went to her friends house, but, the defacto complainant wrongly conceived and lodged this false complaint, that the investigation is over, that the co-accused A1 has already enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court on 9.3.2026 and that the petitioner is in custody for the past 17 days and he prays for bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has reported that with the help of this petitioner/A1, A2 kidnapped the minor girl for marry her and now the minor girl was secured, that the co-accused A3 is absconding.

As per prosecution version, with the help of this petitioner/A1, A2 kidnapped the minor girl for marry her. The learned counsel for the petitioner represented that this petitioner and the A1 are friends, that the minor girl of the defacto complainant and A1 are loved with each other, that parents of the minor girl came to knowledge about the same and scolded their minor girl, enraged the minor girl went to her friends house, but, the defacto complainant wrongly conceived and lodged this false complaint, that the investigation is over and he prays for bail. On perusal of records, it shows that occurrence have taken place on 18.2.2026. Victim girl secured. The petitioner is in custody for the past 17 days. No bad antecedent reported. Co-accused A1 has already been enlarged on bail. Considering the above facts, the period of incarceration and on considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/A2 with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/A2 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Addl. District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur subject to conditions.

1. After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
2. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause obstacles to the

pending investigation or trial.

3. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional Munsif Cum District Magistrate, Veda sandur.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.
Thiru. S.Japastian, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.