



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL

**PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M**  
**Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No.622/2026**  
**CNR No.TNDG01-001581-2026**

Tamilarasi, 55/2026  
W/o.Palanisamy

.. Petitioner/ A2

/vs/

State through  
The Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.,  
Cr. No.53/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.L.Kathiravan, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

**ORDER**

Petition filed u/s. 482 of BNSS 2023. Petitioner/A2 prays to grant him anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Cr.No.53/2026 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 28.2.2026.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged, that there existed pathway dispute is pending between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to that enmity, on the date of occurrence, the defacto complainant came to the petitioner's house and assaulted the petitioner and party, for which the petitioner filed a complaint and registered a case in Cr.No.54/2026, that in order to escape from the clutches of law, this false complaint has been foisted, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner apprehend arrest and prays for anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that in continuation of pathway dispute, that on 28.2.2026, the accused with intent to insult the defacto complainant and her husband abused them in filthy language, attacked them with hands and weapons and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act 2023 and Section 4 of TNPHW Act. The learned Counsel for the petitioner represented that there existed pathway dispute is pending between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, due to that enmity, on the date of occurrence the defacto complainant came to the petitioner's house and assaulted the petitioner and party, for which the petitioner filed a complaint and registered a case in Cr.No.54/2026, that in order to escape from the clutches of law, this false complaint has been foisted, that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioner apprehends for arrest and prays anticipatory bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has conceded that the injured was discharged from the hospital and a counter case is pending against the defacto complainant.

On perusal of records, it shows that occurrence have taken place on 28.2.2026. Material part of investigation might have been completed by this time. Injured was discharged from the hospital. Counter case is pending. Considering all these aspects, the discharge of injured and on considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A2 on condition.

In the result in the event of arrest or on his surrendering before the Court concerned the petitioner/A2 is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of learned Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur subject to the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 15 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
3. The petitioner shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.

4. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail even though anticipatory bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- \* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

**Copy to**

The Additional Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedsanthur.  
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.  
The Inspector of Police, Eriodu PS.  
Thiru.L.Kathiravan , Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.