

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.621/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001577-2026

1.Siraj moon, 28/2026
S/o.Shajakhan

: Petitioner/A1

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Palani Town PS.
Cr. No.79/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.A.M.Anandharaj, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of BNSS 2023. petitioner/A1 prays to enlarge him on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) of NDPS Act 1985 and Sec. 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015 in Cr. No.79/2026 of respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 6.2.2026 and the Petitioner/A1 was remanded on the same day.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is third petition, that the petitioners' earlier bail petitions were dismissed on 23.2.2026 & 2.3.2026, that the petitioner is innocent and noway connected with the alleged occurrence, that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case for statistical purpose, that the petitioner is tourists and he has not committed any offence as alleged, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioner was remanded on 6.2.2026 and is in custody for 35 days and he prays for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the defacto complainant is S.I. of Police attached to respondent police station, on the basis of reliable information

received by him, on 24.11.2025 at about 17.15 hours, he along with police party were in patrolling near the Palani to Dindigul road and reached the place APA college, on seeing police party, this accused try to escape, but, the police party surrounded them and made on search he found that each of the accused has in possession 330 grams, 190 grams and 140 grams of Ganja Chocolates named "Bhola Munakka Mohit" for retail sale to the school and college going students, hence the respondent P.S., seized the properties and registered this case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The learned counsel for the petitioners represented that it is purely put up case, that the petitioner is only tourist and he has not committed any offence as alleged, that the petitioner has no bad antecedent, that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case for statistical purpose and that the petitioner is in custody for 35 days and prays for bail.

The case was registered against the accused the alleged offences punishable U/S. 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) of NDPS Act 1985 and Sec. 77 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015. The learned Public Prosecutor has raised objection that each of the accused has in possession 330 grams, 190 grams and 140 grams of Ganja Chocolates named "Bhola Munakka Mohit" for retail sale to the school and college going students, that the investigation is pending, if bail is granted there is more chance of absconding, it will lead hindrance to the investigation, hence the petitioner is liable to be dismissed. However, he has further reported that the A1 has one previous case, material part of investigation is over and that the co-accused A2 and A3 were enlarged on bail.

Considering the facts that material part of investigation is over, period of incarceration, the co-accused A2 and A3 have already been enlarged on bail and the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/A1 with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/A1 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani subject to conditions.

1. After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
2. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause obstacles to the

pending investigation or trial.

3. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS.

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, Palani Town PS.

Thiru.A.M.Anandharaj, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.