

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.625/2026
CNR No. TNDG01-001572-2026

Alex Anantharajan, 22/2026
S/o.Arockiyaraj

.. Petitioner/A2

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Sanarpatti.
Cr. No.78/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru. P.Karthik raja, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of BNSS 2023. Petitioner/A2 prays to enlarge him on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 126(2), 118(1), 324(4), 351(3) of BNS 2023 in Cr.No.78/2026 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 14.2.2026 and they were remanded on 1.3.2026.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged, that the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that he undertakes that he will not tamper or hamper with any witness, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner was remanded on 1.3.2026 and he is in custody for the past 12 days and he prays for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that on 14.2.2026 at about 5.30 p.m., the defacto complainant and his party were in cycling journey from Coimbatore and reached at the place near Kanniyapuram Santhanavardhini Bridge, the accused intercepted them and scolded them in filthy languages and left from that place, afterwards on the same day at 6.00 p.m., in continuation of earlier dispute, the accused unlawfully assembled with deadly

weapons, wrongfully restrained him, abused the defacto complainant in filthy languages and attacked him with iron rod, caused damages to the value of Rs.3000/- and caused injury and threatened him with dire consequences, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 126(2), 118(1), 324(4), 351(3) of BNS 2023. The learned Counsel for the petitioner represented that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged, that the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioner, that the co-accused A1, A3 and A5 were enlarged on bail by this Hon'ble Court on 7.3.2026, that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners are in custody for the past 12 days and he prays for bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has reported that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the co-accused A1, A3 and A5 have already been enlarged on bail and he has not raised any serious objection.

On perusal of records, it shows that occurrence have taken place on 14.2.2026. Material part of investigation might have been completed by this time. Injured was discharged from the hospital. The petitioner is in custody for 12 days. No bad antecedent. - Co-accused A1, A3 and A5 were enlarged on bail. Considering the facts that the discharge of injured, period of incarceration, no bad antecedent and on considering the nature, facts and circumstances of the Court is inclined to grant bail to the Petitioner/A2 with condition.

In the result, the Petitioner/A2 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul subject to conditions.

1. After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
2. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause obstacles to the pending investigation or trial.

3. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code” _

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.III, Dindigul
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, Shanarpatti.
Thiru. P.Karthik raja, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.