



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
DINDIGUL.**

Present : Thiru.Swarnam J.Rajagopalan, B.A., B.L.,(Hons)

Additional District and Sessions Court, Dindigul

Monday, dated this the 16th day of March, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.613/2026 in S.C.No.89/2021

(CNR.No.TNDG01-001567-2026)

1.Muralidharan, S/o.Balasubramanian, Pothumbu, Madurai. (A2)

2.Sangilimurugan, S/o.Kuppusamy, Vadipatti, Madurai. (A3)

3.Muthupandi, S/o.Rasu, Vadipatti, Madurai. (A4)

...Petitioners/A2 to A4

..Vs..

State represented by the Inspector of Police, Nilakottai P.S. in Cr.No.310/2016.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Bail petition is coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Tmt.P.Rajeswari, learned Counsel appeared on behalf of the accused and Thiru.S.Soosai Robert, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Dindigul appeared on behalf of the State, after hearing the arguments of both sides, upon perusal of relevant records, today this Court passed the following

ORDER

The Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking bail.

1. It is stated by the Petitioners/A2 to A4 that a case in Crime No.310 of 2016 was registered by Nilakottai Police Station for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 341, 302 r/w 149 IPC, and the same has been taken on file as S.C.No.89 of 2021 and is pending on the file of this Court. The Petitioners state that they have not committed any offence and that a false case has been foisted against them. It is further stated that during the hearing of the case on 16.12.2025, this Court issued Non-Bailable Warrants against them and upon execution of the said warrants they were arrested and produced before this Court on 12.01.2026 and remanded to Judicial Custody. The Petitioners state that they have been in Judicial Custody for the past 56 days and they are ready to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court and to produce sufficient sureties. Hence, they prayed that bail may be granted.
2. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Dindigul, strongly opposed the bail petition contending that if the Petitioners/A2 to A4 are released on bail, they may abscond and may also tamper with the prosecution witnesses and therefore prayed that the petition may be dismissed.
3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor. Records perused.
4. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the Petitioners/A2 to A4 were earlier on bail and Non-Bailable Warrants were issued against them on 16.12.2025 for their non-appearance before this Court. Subsequently, they were arrested

pursuant to the execution of the said warrants and remanded to Judicial Custody on 12.01.2026. It is also seen that the case is at an advanced stage of trial. The prosecution evidence has already been completed and the questioning of the accused under Section 313 Cr.P.C. was completed on 24.02.2026 and the case is now posted for examination of defence witnesses.

5. Considering the fact that the accused were earlier granted bail but failed to cooperate with the trial resulting in issuance of Non-Bailable Warrants, and also considering that the case involves grave offences including Section 302 IPC and that the trial has reached the stage of defence evidence, this Court is of the view that the petitioners are not entitled to the discretionary relief of bail at this stage.

6. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the Petitioners/A2 to A4.

In the Result, this Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, this the 16th day of March, 2026.

Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Dindigul