

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.605/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001556-2026

Govindaraj, 37/2026
S/o.Thulukkanam

: Petitioner/A7

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.
Cr. No.22/2025

: Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 BNSS 2023. Petitioner/A7 prays to enlarge him on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 120(B), 420, 423, 463, 464 of IPC., in Cr. No.22/2025 of D.C.B. Police Station. The alleged occurrence took place for the period from 20.7.2021 to 1.3.2024 and the petitioner/A7 was remanded on 26.2.2026.

The Intervener/Defacto complainant has filed an intervention petition and it was returned for the reasons as stated within.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the Petitioner/A7 submitted that the defacto complainant lodged a complaint against A1 to A5 and on 24.7.2025 FIR was registered against the A1 to A5, that this petitioner/A7 was not participated in this crime, that the respondent police had implied this petitioner/A7 through the confession of A1 and the respondent police had altered the charges and added this petitioner as A7 by stating that A1 had gave Rs.40 lakhs for the expenditures of the political party namely, *Valimaiyana Makkal Katchi*, to this petitioner and later the respondent police had arrested this petitioner/A7 on 26.2.2026, i.e. after the lapse of 5 years, that the co-accused A1 had already been released on regular bail by this Hon'ble Court on 5.1.2026 in Cr.M.P.No.2734/2025

and A4 had already been released on regular bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul on 18.12.2025 in Cr.M.P.No.3566/2025, that the petitioner is an innocent and strange to the facts alleged and not guilty of the offences charged with, that the petitioner belongs to respectable family, that he undertakes that he will not tamper or hamper with any witness, that if the petitioner is required for investigation, he undertakes to be present as and when required in accordance with the law, that the petitioner is in custody for the past 15 days and he prays for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto complainant is practicing as a lawyer for the past 10 years, that A1 is close relative to the defacto complainant and also Founder and Leader of *Valimaiyana Makkal Katchi*, that the A2 is Founder and Leader of *Ulaikkum Makkal Katchi*, both of them are living in the same locality, that the A1 and A2 told to the defacto complainant that a land to the extent of Ac. 3.45 cents situated in S.No.634/1 and Ac. 1.50 cents of land situated in S.No.631 in V.Pudukottai village, Vendasandur Taluk in the possession and enjoyment of one Kamalam is for sale and they were willing to act as broker for buying the land, that on the 20.7.2021, the defacto complainant went to the Kamalam house and entered into a sale agreement for 5 acres of agricultural land for Rs.75 lakhs and paid Rs.4 lakhs as advance, afterwards, the defacto complainant paid Rs.60 lakhs on various dates to Kamalam through A1 and A2 and received acknowledgments for the same, since the said Kamalam gave evasive replies, the defacto complainant filed a complainant before the Superintendent of Police, Dindigul, after enquiry, it was revealed that A1 and A2 with the help of other co-accused misused documents, impersonation and fraudulent representations and thereby they have cheated the defacto complainant to the total sum of Rs.61,40,000/-, hence the case.

The case was registered against the accused for the offences punishable U/s. 120(B), 420, 423, 463, 464 of IPC. The learned counsel for the petitioner represented that there is no nexus between the petitioner and the alleged occurrence, that the name of the petitioner not found place in the FIR, that he has been falsely implicated by the respondent police by stating that on the basis of confession statement given by the A1, that the respondent police had arrested this petitioner/A7 on 26.2.2026, i.e. after the lapse of 5 years, that the co-accused A1 had already been released on regular bail by this Hon'ble Court on 5.1.2026 in Cr.M.P.No.2734/2025 with a condition to deposit Rs.5 lakhs and A4 had already been released on regular bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul on 18.12.2025 in Cr.M.P.No.3566/2025 with a condition to deposit Rs.50,000/-, that the petitioner is an innocent and strange to the facts alleged, that as per prosecution version, the

date of alleged occurrence happened from 20.7.2021 to 1.3.2024 and the case was registered on 24.7.2025, hence, the investigation might have been completed at this point of time, that the petitioner is in custody for the past 15 days and he prays for bail. As already stated that the intervention petition filed by the Intervener/Defacto complainant was returned for the reasons as stated within. The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the accused conspired themselves, in furtherance, the accused cheated Rs.61,40,000/- from the defacto complainant by way of impersonation and fabricated documents, that as per the confession statement of A1, it reveals that this petitioner/A7 had received Rs.40 lakhs from A1 for political party expenses. However, he has conceded that the investigation is almost completed.

Considering the above aspects and on considering the facts that the investigation is almost completed as stated by the prosecution, the period of incarceration, the co-accused A1 and A4 have already been enlarged on bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/A7 subject to the following conditions.

- 1 The petitioner/A7 is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) in the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II Court, Dindigul to the credit of case in Cr. No.22/2025 of Dindigul DCB P.S. without prejudice to his case.
- 2 After making payment and on production of acknowledgment for payment, the petitioner/A7 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.
- 3 After release, the petitioner/A7 shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
- 4 The petitioner/A7 shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause obstacles to the pending investigation or trial.

- 5 If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate.II, Dindigul.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, DCB PS.

Thiru.C.Rexin Raj, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.