

Suit No. 156/2016 (New No. 617832/16)
PAWAN KUMAR JAIN Vs. NARENDER KUMAR JAIN

02.03.2020

Present: Sh. M.L. Vashistha, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Abhishek Gupta, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the defendant.

Adjournment sought by Ld. Proxy Counsel as main counsel is not available. Not opposed. In the interest of justice, adjournment sought is allowed.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that initially a stay had been granted in favour of the plaintiff but somehow the same has not been extended on the record of this case and, therefore, he has filed an application under Section 151 CPC.

Heard. Perused.

The stay granted at one point of time during the proceedings of the suit has not been denied by the defendant. In these circumstances, **the interim stay is extended till the next date of hearing.**

Part arguments have already been heard on the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC of the defendant.

Put up for further arguments on the same on 04.04.2020.

(Hem Raj)
Additional District Judge-8
Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
02.03.2020.