

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul..

Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.594/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-001510-2026

Harrish Mohammed, 28/2026
S/o.Shiekkoli

: Petitioner/A5

/vs/

State through
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Thadicombu PS.
Cr. No.405/2025

: Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbarasan, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.R.Udhayanithi learned Public Prosecutor(I/c) for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of BNSS 2023. petitioner/A5 prays to enlarge him on bail for the alleged offences punishable U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281 of BNS @ 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281, 111(1), 317 of BNS @ 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281, 111(1), 317(2), 49 of BNS Act in Cr.No.405/2025 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 13.11.2025 and the petitioner was remanded on 29.12.2025.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is third petition, that the petitioner's earlier bail petitions were dismissed on 21.11.2025 & 2.3.2026, that there is no nexus between the petitioner and the alleged occurrence, that he has not committed any offence as alleged, that while on 19.11.2025, when the petitioner was proceeding to Court for attend the hearing, the D.S.P. of Dindigul Town threatened him and falsely implicated this petitioner as accused in this case, that this is utterly false case and the complaint is also a fabricated one, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the investigation is over, that the petitioner belongs to respectable family, that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner was remanded on 29.12.2025

and he is in custody for the past 74 days and he prays for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that on 14.10.2025 the defacto complainant mortgaged his Maruthi Suzuki Breeza car bearing Reg No. TN 41 NN 0799 for Rs.70,000/- to the A1, and then on 18.10.2025, while he wants to get back his vehicle, the A1 demanded Rs.80,000/-, that though the defacto complainant had paid the said amount to A1, he has not returned the vehicle and there arose wordy quarrel between them, in that quarrel, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy languages, attacked him with wooden log and caused injury and threatened him with dire consequences, further on 13.11.2025 at about 10.15 a.m., A1 called the defacto complainant and said that his car was met with an accident in Dindigul Anjali Roundana and the defacto complainant made on inquiry, he found that the accident was held by the accused negligent act, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The learned Counsel for the petitioner represented that with an evil intention, the respondent police has falsely implicated this petitioner in this case, that he has not committed any offence as alleged, that while on 19.11.2025, when the petitioner was proceeding to Court for attend the hearing, the D.S.P. of Dindigul Town threatened him and falsely implicated this petitioner as accused in this case, that this is utterly false case and the complaint is also a fabricated one, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that with an ulterior motive the section of law was subsequently altered and that the petitioner is in custody for the past 74 days and that he prays for bail.

The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281 of BNS @ 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281, 111(1), 317 of BNS @ 296(b), 118(1), 351(3), 316(2), 281, 111(1), 317(2), 49 of BNS Act. The learned Public Prosecutor has raised objection that this petitioner is arrayed as A5 in this case, that this petitioner is also involved in organized crime, that one previous case in Cr.No.650/2026, u/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 324, 341, 506(ii) IPC is pending and rowdy H.S. No.209/2021 is also pending against the A5, if bail is granted, there is more chance of absconding, it will lead hindrance to the investigation, hence the petition may be dismissed. He further represented that the investigation is almost over and charge sheet is yet to be filed.

Considering the facts that material part of investigation is over, period of incarceration and the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/A5 with conditions.

In the result, the petitioner/A5 is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his

executing a bond for Rs.25,000/- along with two sureties each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul subject to conditions.

1. After release the petitioner shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and shall also appear make himself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
2. The petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation or trial and he shall not threaten the witnesses. He shall not induce witnesses and he shall not cause obstacles to the pending investigation or trial.
3. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation Officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned for cancellation of bail even though bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs/State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W.5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 12th day of March 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul.
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Sub-Inspector of Police, Thadicombu PS.
Thiru.K.Anbarasan, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.