

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M  
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Wednesday, the 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.393/2026  
CNR No.TNDG01-000890-2026

Kalaivani,51/2026  
W/o.Arumugam

.. Petitioner/A2

/vs/

State through  
The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Saminathapuram PS.,  
Cr.No.209/2025

.. Respondent/Complainant

Both petitions are coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.N.Prabhakaran learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

**ORDER**

Petition filed u/s. 482 of BNSS 2023. Petitioner/A2 prays to grant her anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 316(4), 318(4), 351(3) of BNS 2023 in Cr.No.209/2025 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence taken place on 16.12.2025.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is no nexus between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, that the this petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged, that this petitioner, after left from the defacto complainant company job, she asked her salary dues from the defacto complainant, with intent to wreak vengeance and cheat the salary dues of the petitioner, the defacto complainant foisted this false case with false allegations, that the petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioner has permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioner apprehends arrest and prays for anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the defacto complainant is doing several businesses like coir, Rathi Exports, CSR Chamber bricks and he appointed A1 and his mother-in-law A2 for maintaining profit and loss accounts, that for the past 10 years,

the defacto complainant was unable to scrutinized his firms' accounts, by using the same, the A1 & A2 were cheated Rs.31,96,192/- from the bank accounts of the defacto complainant and his wife in various occasions, when the same was questioned, the A1 & A2 were requested that they had done it unknowingly, that they had been working there for a long time and they are ready to repay the said amount, hence, the defacto complainant has not taken any legal action against them, afterwards, they have repay Rs.19 lakhs out of the cheated amount, while the balance amount is pending to be paid, that on 16.12.2025 at about 6.00 p.m. the accused persons threatened the defacto complainant with dire consequences, hence he lodged police complaint against the accused.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 316(4), 318(4), 351(3) of BNS 2023. Initially, this case was referred to mediation for settlement. Report received from the mediation that both parties were absent consecutively for three hearings and the mediation could not be commenced. Though this Court given several opportunities for appearance of parties, they have not turned up. At this stage, this Court decided this petition on merits. The learned counsel for the petitioner/A2 represented that with an evil intention this false complaint has been foisted, that this petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged, that the co-accused A1 was granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI OP(MD) No.2951/2026, dt. 12.2.2026, that this petitioner is ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court and that the petitioner apprehends arrest and prays for anticipatory bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has raised objection to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A2, however, he has conceded that A1 was granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court.

On perusal of records, it shows that occurrences have taken place on 16.12.2025 and before. Material part of investigation might have been completed by this time. No specific objection raised by the prosecution for granting anticipatory bail to this petitioner. No bad antecedent reported. The co-accused A1 was granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court. Considering the above aspects, no bad antecedent reported and on considering the fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A2 with condition.

In the result, anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner/A2 subject to the following conditions.

1. The petitioner/A2 is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh only) before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani to the credit of Cr.No.209/2025 of Saminathapuram P.S. without prejudice to the petitioners' case.
2. On deposit of said amount and on production of acknowledgment for the same, the petitioner/A2 is hereby directed to surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani within 15 days from today without fail and then the petitioner/A2 is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate without fail.
3. After release, the petitioner/A2 shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 15 days and shall also appear make herself available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- \* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

**Copy to**

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani.  
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul .  
The Sub-Inspector of Swaminathapuram PS.  
Thiru.N.Prabhakaran, Counsel for the petitioner.



They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.