

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.

Tuesday, the 28th day of April 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.210/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-000507-2026

1.Nirmalkumar,29/2026
S/o.Muthusamy .. Petitioner/A1 to A3
2.Muthusamy,61/2026
S/o.Thangavel
3.Thenmozi,50/2026
W/o.Muthusamy

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Palani AWPS., .. Respondent/Complainant
Cr. No.57/2025

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.R.Selvaraj, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 482 of BNSS 2023. Petitioners/A1 to A3 pray to grant them anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 351(2), 85 of BNS 2023 in Cr.No. 57/2025 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence taken place from 8.9.2024.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged, the defacto complainant is wife of the 1st petitioner and the 2nd and 3rd petitioners were in laws of her, that the defacto complainant and the 1st petitioner got married on 8.9.2024 and were living together in Chennai, that the 2nd and 3rd petitioners were residing far away from each other, that they do not have opportunity to meet frequently, it is false that they instigated and tortured the complainant, that there is no dowry related torture as mentioned in the petition, that the defacto complainant had disclosed her past relationship with one Kaleeswaran to the 1st petitioner and she told that she had an abortion as a result of her relationship with the said Kaleeswaran, so that the 1st petitioner avoided physical relationship with the defacto complainant, that after some days, the defacto complainant left a letter admitting her past

relationship and went to her parental home, this is the only reason for separation between them, for which the 1st petitioner filed a petition before the Subordinate Court Palani in HMOP. No.167/2025 to declare their marriage as null and void, that in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant filed domestic violence case before Judicial Magistrate Palani in DVC.No.35/2025 and after that the defacto complainant filed this false complaint against these petitioners, that the petitioners are ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioners have permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioners are apprehend arrest and prays for anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto complainant is wife of A1 and the A2 and A3, were parents of A1, the defacto complainant and A1 were married on 8.9.2024, due to matrimonial dispute from their marriage, the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and demanded Rs.5,00,000/- as dowry and criminally intimidated her, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 351(2), 85 of BNS 2023. Initially, this case was referred to the mediation for settlement. Mediation report received that no agreement reached and the mediation was closed. It was reported that the petitioners/A1 to A3 only present and the defacto complainant was not turned up for mediation. On perusal of records, it shows that occurrence have took place from 8.9.2024. Material part of investigation might have been completed by this time. In the mediation process, the defacto complainant did not try to settle the dispute. Furthermore, HMOP and DVOP cases are pending between the parties. Considering the above aspects and on considering the fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A1 to A3 on condition.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the Court concerned the petitioners/A1 to A3 are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani subject to the following conditions.

1. The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release the petitioners shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m for a continuous period of 15 days and shall also appear make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.

3. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
4. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail even though anticipatory bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 28th day of April 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Palani
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.
The Inspector of Police, Palani AWPS.
Thiru.R.Selvaraj, Counsel for the petitioner.

They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.