

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE DINDIGUL



**PRESENT: Tmt. A. MUTHUSARATHA, B.L., P.G.D.P.M**  
**Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Wednesday, the 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No.136/2026**  
**CNR No.TNDG01-000319-2026**

1.Raja,42/2026

S/o.Nallan

2.Selvaraj,49/2026

S/o.Palaniyappan

3.Shanthi,42/2026

W/o.Raja

.. Petitioners/A1 to A3

/vs/

State through

The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Cr. No.535/2025

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.M.Ravikumar, learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.P.Mahendran learned Public Prosecutor for for the respondent and heard either side and perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

**ORDER**

Petition filed u/s. 482 of BNSS 2023. Petitioners/A1 to A3 pray to grant them anticipatory bail for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 115(2), 303(2), 127(2), 351(2) of BNS 2023 in Cr.No.535/2025 of the respondent police. The alleged occurrence took place on 5.12.2025.

Heard both sides.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any offence as alleged, that the wife of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner who is 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner of this case doing finance, but the defacto complainant had cheated Rs.47,00,000/- from her, for which on 15.12.2025, the 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner lodged a complaint against the defacto complainant in the respondent police station, after that the defacto complainant called the petitioners over phone and abused him in filthy language, for which the petitioners filed a complaint and registered a case in Cr.No.534/2025, that in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, that the occurrence have taken place on 5.12.2025 and defacto complainant was lodged the

complaint on 24.12.2025, that the injured was discharged from the hospital, that the petitioners are ready to abide any condition imposed by this Hon'ble Court, that the petitioners have permanent abode, hence there is no chance for absconding, that the petitioners are apprehend arrest and prays for anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the A1 has running a finance company in the name of Dhandapani Finance, Ayyalur and the defacto complainant was worked in that company, that in continuation of money dispute, that on 5.12.2025 at about 11.00 p.m., the accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and A3 attacked him with broomstick and the accused wrongfully detained the defacto complainant in a room and attacked him with wooden logs and caused injuries, then the accused forcibly took the defacto complainant's cell phone and cash of Rs.3,00,000/- and threatened him with dire consequences, hence the case.

Rival contentions are taken into consideration. Records perused. Initially, this case was referred to mediation for settlement and report received that both parties were absent consecutively for three hearings and hence mediation could not be commenced. Hence, this case was taken up for disposal. The learned Counsel for the petitioners represented that that the wife of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner who is 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner of this case doing finance, but the defacto complainant had cheated Rs.47,00,000/- from her, for which on 15.12.2025, the 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner lodged a complaint against the defacto complainant in the respondent police station, after that the defacto complainant called the petitioners over phone and abused him in filthy language, for which the petitioners filed a complaint and registered a case in Cr.No.534/2025, that in order to take revenge, the defacto complainant foisted this false complaint against this petitioners, that the occurrence have taken place on 5.12.2025 and defacto complainant was lodged the complaint on 24.12.2025, that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the petitioners apprehend arrest and pray for anticipatory bail. The learned Public Prosecutor has reported that the injured was discharged from the hospital and he has not raised any serious objection.

The case was registered against the accused for the alleged offences punishable U/S. 296(b), 115(2), 303(2), 127(2), 351(2) of BNS 2023. It is revealed from the note of mediator that on 8.4.2026, the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioners were present before the mediator, but, the defacto complainant was not present before the mediator for the consecutive three hearings. On perusal of records shows that the alleged occurrence have taken place on 5.12.2025. Material part of investigation might have been completed by this time. Injured was discharged from the hospital. No bad antecedent reported. Considering

the above aspects, the discharge of injured and on considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/A1 to A3 on condition.

In the result, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the Court concerned the petitioners/ A1 to A3 are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the the learned Additional District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur subject to the following conditions.

1. The petitioners shall surrender before the Court concerned within 15 days from today without fail.
2. After release the petitioners shall appear and sign before the S.H.O., respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m for a continuous period of 15 days and shall also appear make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
3. The petitioners shall not tamper with the witnesses or in any manner interfere with or put obstacle to the smooth progress of investigation.
4. If there is any violation of condition, the Investigation officer is within his discretion to approach the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned for cancellation of bail even though anticipatory bail granted by the Sessions Court as per the ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in P.K.Shaji/Vs./ State of Kerala, (2005) AIR S.C.W. 5560.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,  
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,  
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”
- \* Visit [ecourts.gov.in](https://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

**Copy to**

Judicial Magistrate, Vedasandur  
The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.  
The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.  
Thiru.M.Ravikumar, learned Counsel for the  
petitioners.

} They are requested to download this order from the above said official web site link.